```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                         WESTERN DIVISION
 3
    OLD GRANITE DEVELOPMENT, ) Docket No. 3:06CV2950
 4
    LTD.,
                                                        )
 5
              Plaintiff, ) Toledo, Ohio
 6
                             ) May 23, 2008
              v.
                          ) Jury Trial
 7
    CITY OF TOLEDO,
 8
              Defendant.
 9
10
                TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL, VOLUME 5
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACK ZOUHARY
11
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
    APPEARANCES:
    For the Plaintiffs: Marvin A. Robon
14
                          Barkan & Robon
                          1701 Woodlands Drive, Suite 100
15
                          Maumee, Ohio 43537
16
                          (419) 897-6500
17
    For the Defendant:
                         Robert J. Bahret
                          Bahret & Associates
18
                          7050 Spring Meadows, W
                          Holland, Ohio 43528
19
                          (419) 861-7800
20
    Court Reporter:
                         Angela D. Nixon, RPR, CRR
                         1716 Spielbusch Avenue
21
                         Toledo, Ohio 43624
                         (419) 260-5259
22
23
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
24
    produced by notereading.
25
```

2.2

THE COURT: Let the record reflect we're in court and with counsel. Jury has not yet been called in.

Last evening The Court held a charge conference with counsel and worked on the jury instructions, and we are prepared to instruct the jury this morning. I advised counsel off the record that I did make some cosmetic changes to the jury instructions, made one change to the verdict form for the plaintiff and that was to add a phrase for nominal damages with respect to the tree and bramble removal, so that that's reflected in the potential verdict for the plaintiff.

I also want to comment on the arguments yesterday. This court granted defendant's motion for judgment as a matter of law on plaintiff's taking claims. It was suggested that plaintiff's Section 1983 action might survive citing the case of <a href="Becic">Becic</a>, <a href="Becic">B-E-C-I-C</a>, versus City of <a href="Cleveland">Cleveland</a>; however, that case, as well as other cases, made clear that a Section 1983 claim, a plaintiff must prove an underlying violation of a constitutional right, and refer to the <a href="Howard versus Grinage">Howard versus Grinage</a>, <a href="G-R-I-N-A-G-E">G-R-I-N-A-G-E</a>, case, which quotes the U.S. Supreme Court case of <a href="Daniels versus">Daniels versus</a></a>
<a href="Williams">Williams</a>. And therefore, I believe the judgment dismissing both the taking and the 1983 claim is appropriate.

I will, counsel, provide you with an opportunity to make any record with respect to the jury instructions as

read by me before I dismiss the jury. I will turn to you and say is there anything further counsel? And if there is, you may come up to the court reporter, I will meet with you at her station and you can, for the record, made any changes to the instructions that we discussed.

But I understand, Keith, you have some new comments with respect to the instructions and if you want to take the time now to put those in the record, you may do so.

MR. WATKINS: Thank you. I should approach the court reporter?

THE COURT: No, right there now.

MR. WATKINS: Simply for the record, we wanted to incorporate all the arguments we made in response to directed verdict. In addition, as arguments previously made in this case, the governmental immunity issue, we would preserve and object for that reason.

In addition to that, the -- I have -- after our conference in chambers, I've reviewed the answer filed on behalf of the Defendant City of Toledo, and would indicate that paragraph 6 of the affirmative defenses alleges that the plaintiff -- the damages claim were the result of the plaintiff's negligent conduct and not conduct of the City of Toledo and paragraph 9 issued as a defense spoliation of evidence.

Those are ones that I don't think were in the 1 2 jury instructions. So for the record, we simply preserve 3 those. 4 THE COURT: Anything further from plaintiff? 5 MR. ROBON: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Let's call the jury, please. 7 The record should also reflect that counsel 8 requested, and I have given them up to 40 minutes each for 9 closing. Plaintiff reserving up to ten minutes for 10 rebuttal. 11 (Jury brought in at 8:15 a.m.) 12 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 13 On your seats you will find a stack of papers. I'm going 14 to ask you to turn them over, and the first one on top 15 should read "jury instructions before closing arguments." 16 And if you'll look at me, I'll know that you've found them. 17 Okay. And I'm going to ask you to follow along with me as 18 I read them with you. 19 Members of the jury, it is now my duty to 20 instruct you on the law that applies to this case. Court and the jury have separate functions. You decide the 21 22 disputed facts and I give the instructions of law. It is 23 your sworn duty to accept these instructions and to apply 24 the law as it is given to you. You are not permitted to

change the law or to apply your own idea of what you think

25

the law should be.

2.2

The next page is a table of contents. This may help you because these will go back with you to the jury room for deliberation, so we'll skip over and go to what is marked page 1, "burden of proof" at the top.

The person who claims that certain facts exist must prove them by a preponderance of the evidence. This duty is known as the burden of proof. The burden of proof is on the plaintiff, Old Granite Development, to prove the facts necessary for its case by a preponderance of the evidence. Preponderance of the evidence is the greater weight of the evidence. That is evidence that you believe, in your mind, outweighs or overbalances the evidence opposed to it. A preponderance means evidence that is more probable, more persuasive, or of greater probative value. It is the quality of the evidence that must weighed. Quality may or may not be identical with quantity or the greater number of witnesses.

In determining whether an issue has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence, you should consider all the evidence, regardless of who produced it. If the weight of the evidence is equally balanced, or if you are unable to determine which side of an issue has the preponderance, the party who has the burden of proof has not established such issue by a preponderance of the evidence.

2.2

Evidence is all the testimony received from the witnesses including: Depositions; any exhibits admitted during the trial; any facts stipulated or agreed to by counsel; and any facts that The Court requires you to accept as true.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial or both. Direct evidence is the testimony given by a witness who has seen or heard the facts to which he or she testifies. It includes exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial.

Evidence may also be used to prove a fact by inference. This is referred to as "circumstantial evidence." Circumstantial evidence is the proof of facts by direct evidence from which you may infer other reasonable facts or conclusions. For example, if a witness testified that he saw it raining outside and you believed him, that would be direct evidence that it was raining. If someone walked into the courtroom wearing a raincoat covered with drops of water and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial evidence from which you could conclude that it was raining.

You may infer a fact or facts only from other facts that have -- that have been proven by a preponderance of the evidence. You may not make one inference from another inference, but you may draw more than one inference from the same facts or circumstances.

2.2

Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence are of equal weight. Evidence does not include the pleadings or any statement of counsel made during the trial, unless such statement was an admission or stipulation as to certain facts. The opening statements and the closing arguments of counsel are designed to assist you. They are not evidence.

Statements or answers ordered stricken, or to which The Court sustained an objection, or that you were instructed to disregard are not evidence and must be treated as though you never heard them. You must not guess why The Court sustained the objection to any question or what the answer to such question might have been. You must not consider as evidence any suggestion included in a question that was not answered.

You are the judges of the facts, the credibility of the witnesses, and the weight of the evidence. To weigh the evidence, you must consider the credibility or believability of the witnesses. You will use the tests of truthfulness that you use in your daily lives. These tests include the appearance of each witness upon the stand; his or her manner of testifying; the reasonableness of the testimony; the opportunity he or she had to see, hear and know the things concerning which he or she testified; his or her accuracy of memory; frankness or lack of it;

2.2

intelligence, interest, and bias, if any; together with all the paths and circumstances surrounding the testimony.

Use these tests and assign to each witness' testimony such weight as you think proper. You are not required to believe the testimony of any witness simply because he or she was under oath. Nor are you required to accept testimony which is uncontradicted. You may believe or disbelieve all or any part of the testimony of any witness. It is your duty to determine what testimony is worthy of belief.

Some guides for evaluating the testimony include:
Was the witness able to clearly see or hear the events?
How good was the witness' memory? Was there anything that
may have interfered with the witness' ability to perceive
or remember the events? How did the witness act while
testifying? Did the witness have any relationship to
either party, or anything to gain or lose from the case
that might influence the witness' testimony? Was the
witness' testimony supported or contradicted by the other
evidence that you found believable?

If statements in prior deposition testimony differ from the courtroom testimony given by the same witness, you may consider them to test the credibility of that witness.

You may not discriminate between a business

2.2

organization, such as plaintiff, and a government entity such as defendant. Both are persons in the eyes of the law and both are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration.

Since an organization can act only through its employees or other agents, the burden is on plaintiff to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the conduct of one or more employee or agent of defendant was a proximate cause of any damages sustained by plaintiff.

Any negligence or trespass of an employee or agent of the City of Toledo in the performance of his or her duties, is attributable to the City of Toledo.

An expert witness is one who, through study or experience or both, has acquired skill that makes him or her better qualified than the layman to form an opinion in question. For example, to enable you as jurors to determine whether defendant is negligent, it is necessary to have experts testify as to both the appropriate standard of care that defendant should be held to and their opinions whether defendant's conduct amounted to a deviation from this appropriate standard. Such opinions are also required to aide you to determine whether any such deviation from the appropriate standard of care was a proximate cause of plaintiff's alleged damages. This does not mean, however, that you are to consider yourselves bound by the opinion of

any expert. Where the opinions are in conflict, it is for you, as trier of fact, to determine which is the more worthy of belief.

In such a case, in determining what is the greater weight of the evidence, you should not content yourselves with a mere counting of the number of witnesses, but should consider relative qualifications, credibility, and believability. In this trial, expert witnesses may have advanced opinions based on the testimony of other witnesses in the case, or based assumed facts that the evidence tends to establish. It is for you, as jurors, to determine whether the facts on any such opinions are based have been established. The value of any expert's opinion is no stronger than the facts on which it was based.

In the course of this trial, counsel have also put to expert witnesses certain questions known as "hypotheticals." With such a question, the expert is required to assume to be true a statement of facts that might or might not the apply in the dispute involved in this case. The asking of such a question does not indicate that the facts so required to be assumed apply to this case. It is for you to determine whether or not they do apply, and if they do not, to determine the effect or value of the answers given in light of the nonapplicable facts. If there is no evidence of a single fact on which the

question is based, the opinion given in the answer is not entitled to receive any weight.

2.2

A number of exhibits, including videos and the testimony related to them have been introduced. You may consider whether the exhibits are the same objects and in the same condition as originally taken by the parties. You will determine what weight, if any, the exhibits should receive in light of all the evidence. This concludes the general instructions on certain preliminary matters, including the burden of proof, evidence, and the credibility of witnesses.

I will now give you the instructions of law on the specific issues in this case. Plaintiff claims defendant was negligent in surveying and staking the property line between the railroad right-of-way and the Cambridge subdivision; that defendant's employees or agents trespassed on plaintiff's property; and that trees and brambles were removed from plaintiff's property, thereby exposing the lots to an active railroad track and diminishing the value of the Cambridge subdivision.

Plaintiff also claims defendant was negligent in authorizing Ric Man Construction to sever the drain and pipe and in doing so caused accumulation of water on certain lots in the Cambridge subdivision.

Defendant claims it properly staked the property

line and that the trees and brambles removed were on railroad property where the city had permission to work.

2.2

Defendant also claims the decision to sever the drainage pipe was not negligent and that it did not cause accumulation of water on the Cambridge subdivision lots.

Plaintiff has two claims, negligence and trespass. With respect to the removal of trees and brambles, plaintiff must prove these were located on plaintiff's, not railroad, property. Trespass claim:

Plaintiff claims defendant trespassed on certain lots. To establish this claim, plaintiff must prove by the greater weight of the evidence that one is, plaintiff was in possession of the properties; two, defendant entered upon plaintiff's property without permission from plaintiff; and three, proximately caused damage to plaintiff's property.

The measure of actual damages is the reduction in the value of the property resulting from the trespass.

Even if plaintiff fails to prove actual damages, plaintiff is entitled to nominal damages for trespass. If you find in favor of plaintiff on the trespass claim, but find that plaintiff failed to prove damages by the greater weight of the evidence, you may award nominal damages. "Nominal" means trivial or small.

Negligence claim: Plaintiff claims defendant was negligent in staking the property line between the railroad

2.2

right-of-way and plaintiff's property and in instructing
Ric Man Construction to sever the drainage pipe.

Negligence is a failure to use reasonable care. Every
person is required to use reasonable care to avoid injuring
another's property and is liable for damages if its conduct
causes damage.

I will next define the meaning of several terms.

Negligence is the failure to use reasonable care.

Reasonable care is that degree of care which a reasonably careful person would use under like circumstances.

Negligence may constitute either in doing something that a reasonably careful person are not to do understood the circumstances or in failing to do something that a reasonably careful person would do in underlying circumstances.

In determining whether a party used reasonable care, you will consider whether it ought to have foreseen under the circumstances that the natural and probable result of an act or failure of an act would cause some damage. The test for foreseeability is not whether a party should have foreseen damage exactly as it happened. The test is whether under all the circumstances, a reasonably prudent person would have anticipated that damage was likely to result to someone from the act or failure to act.

2.2

If a party, by the use of reasonable care, should have foreseen some damage and should have acted, or if it did act, should have taken precautions to avoid that result, then the performance of the act or the failure to take such precautions is negligence.

A party who seeks to recover for damage must prove not only that the other party trespassed or was negligent, but also that such conduct was proximate cause of the damage. Proximate cause is an act or failure to act that in the natural and continuous sequence directly produces the damage, and without which, it would not have occurred. Cause occurs when damage is the natural and foreseeable result of the act or failure to act.

Plaintiff's proof must establish the causal connection between any negligence or trespass and any damage. In other words, plaintiff's proof must establish that it is more likely than not that the damage complained of was proximately caused by defendant's negligence or trespass.

A party is not responsible for damage to another if its negligence is remote -- I'm sorry -- is a remote cause and not a proximate cause. A cause is remote when the result could not have been reasonably foreseen or anticipated as being the likely cause of any damage.

For each of plaintiff's claims, plaintiff bares

1.3

2.2

the burden of establishing its damages by a preponderance of the evidence, with the exception of nominal damages for its trespass claim as the earlier instruction indicated. You will determine from the preponderance of the evidence an amount of money that will reasonably compensate plaintiff for the actual damage proximately caused by defendant's conduct under each claim that plaintiff proves by a preponderance of the evidence.

Specifically, plaintiff claims the lots in the Cambridge subdivision were diminished in value by defendant's conduct. The measure of damage which you will apply is the diminished fair market value of the property, as shown by a preponderance of the evidence. The fair market value is the price the property would bring if offered for sale in the open market by an owner who desired to sell it, but was under no necessity or compulsion to do so, and when purchased by a buyer who desired to buy it, but was under no necessity or compulsion to do so -- each having knowledge of the pertinent facts concerning such property.

If you find the damages are such that the property can be restored to its original condition, then the owner may recover the reasonable cost of these necessary repairs. If, however, these repair costs exceed the difference in the fair market value of the property

immediately before and after the damage, then this difference in value is all that plaintiff may recover.

Damages must be reasonable. If you should find that plaintiff is entitled to a verdict one or more of its claims, you may award only such damages as will reasonably compensate it for such damage as you find, from a preponderance of the evidence in the case, that it has sustained as a proximate cause of defendant's wrongful conduct.

You are not to award speculative damages; that is, you are not to include compensation for prospective loss, which, although possible, is not reasonably certain to occur in the future.

Defendant claims plaintiff failed to mitigate its damages. If defendant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff did not make reasonable efforts under the facts and circumstances in evidence to lessen damages by failing to plant new trees or vegetation after their removal, then you should not allow damages that could have been avoided by the exercise of reasonable diligence. Plaintiff, however, is not required to take measures that would involve undue risk or burden.

Next, I will walk you through the verdict forms and the interrogatories. And I'm going have you -- hopefully, you're at the last page of that document. If

so, set it down or aside and pick up the next stapled set, which the top page should read the caption of the case and say "interrogatory number 1," and if you look at me, I'll know you're there. Thank you.

There are several interrogatories, ladies and gentlemen, for you to use in your deliberations. And we'll go through them one by one. Interrogatory number one asks you to answer: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant was negligent in the removal of trees and brambles from Cambridge subdivision property? And there's a space for a yes or no. You may circle it or check it, just clearly indicate which your answer is. There is a space on that form for all ten of you to sign, and all ten of you must agree on the answer to this interrogatory and to all the interrogatories.

At the bottom of the page as with all the pages on the interrogatories will be further instructions on what to do next. So once you answer this question, you then go to the bottom of the page and it says if the answer of all jurors is yes, proceed to interrogatory number 2.

Otherwise, skip interrogatory number 2 and proceed to interrogatory number 3.

So let's continue to number 2, assuming for the moment you've answered yes, you would then go to number 2.

And it reads: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of

the evidence that this negligence -- and that's referring to interrogatory number 1 -- proximately caused damage to the Cambridge subdivision? Again, a space for yes or no, a space for all ten jurors, and then you proceed to interrogatory number 3.

If you had answered no to interrogatory number 1, you would have skipped number 2 and already been to interrogatory number 3, which reads: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant was negligent in instructing Ric Man Construction to sever the drainage pipe? Again, yes or no? Again, ten lines, and again, at the bottom instructions to tell you what to do next. If the answer of all jurors is yes, proceed to interrogatory number 4. Otherwise, skip interrogatory number 4 and proceed to interrogatory number 5.

Interrogatory number 4 reads: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the negligence was -- again, it's referring to interrogatory number 3 -- proximately caused damage to the Cambridge subdivision? Yes or no. A line for each of you, then proceed to number 5.

Number 5 reads: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant entered upon the Cambridge subdivision property without permission from plaintiff? This is the trespass claim. Yes or no, ten

lines, instructions at the bottom read, if the answer of all jurors is yes, proceed to interrogatory number 6, otherwise, skip interrogatory number 6 and proceed to the appropriate verdict form.

Let's read number 6. Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that this trespass caused actual damage to plaintiff's property? Yes or no. Ten lines, bottom instruction, proceed to appropriate verdict form.

The last two pages in this are the verdict forms in this case. One is the verdict form for plaintiff. One the verdict form for defendant.

And in no particular order, we'll start with the verdict form for plaintiff. State the total amount of actual damages, if any, proximately caused by defendant's removal of trees and brambles from plaintiff's property or nominal damages, if any.

So depending upon how you answered the interrogatories, you may or may not be filling in a number on this first line.

State the total amount of actual damages, if any, proximately caused by defendant's diversion of water onto plaintiff's property.

Again, depending upon how you answered the interrogatories, you may or may not be putting a number on

this line. If you do have a number on both of these lines, then the total dollar amount line, the third line, should -- I hope it's clear -- equal the two lines above it. But you may have a zero on one line and a number on the other. I don't know. Again, a space for all ten of you to sign on this page as well.

Verdict for defendant is the last form in this stack of papers, and it reads: We the jury, duly impaneled and sworn, find in favor of defendant. Ten lines, and then, obviously, no lines for dollar amounts because there's no award with this form for the plaintiff.

You will have, each of you, your own set of this with you in the jury room. There will be a set for you to complete that Carol will give you. It will be in a brown envelope and that's the set that should be signed. You only need to sign one set, not all of these, and that's the one that you'll return in an envelope to Carol at the end.

We are now ready for the closing arguments of counsel. I remind you that these statements are not evidence, but rather an opportunity for the lawyers to tell you what they believe the evidence has been in support of their respective positions. And since plaintiff has the burden of proof, plaintiff gets the first and last word.

And the floor is yours.

MR. ROBON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning. This is the time in the trial where I have an opportunity to summarize what I think that we have proven during this week.

THE COURT: Marvin, I'm sorry. Either voice up or mic or swing that other mic around.

MR. ROBON: This is the time where my job is to point out the key elements of what our case is about, how we've brought it together. We have to prove -- the burden's on us. We have to prove that certain things happened. We have to prove that there was a trespass, we have to prove that there was damage result of the trespass, which is the removing the brambles and the trees. We have to prove that the city was negligent in severing the 24-inch drainage pipe and that we suffered damage as a result of it.

You may ask yourself why are we here in federal court? One of the reasons that -- is that when government activities take place, you can make claims outside of state court so that you don't have the influences of local politicians and things like that. So in federal court the plaintiff is at a disadvantage because the federal laws require all of the jurors to unanimously agree on a verdict. In state court in Ohio, only three-fourths of the jurors have to agree. But in this case, we chose federal court because we think we have a very strong case, and we

think that a variety of people like yourselves from all over northwest Ohio wouldn't be influenced by the City of Toledo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's interesting that we're coming up on Memorial Day weekend, you know, when we honor soldiers, people who have given their lives for our country. And when the judge read the instruction to you originally, when you first got here, he talked about your duty as a juror and the responsibilities that go with it. And being a juror is one of the most important things in our civil system, because what it does, it separates the government from the people, and it keeps the government in check from taking advantage of people. It's like an eminent domain case. I try a lot of eminent domain cases where if they widen the highway or something -- and had one last year, Mrs. Labinski, they put Route 2 within about 10-foot of her front door and said there was no damage to her house. Well, the jury didn't believe that. But The Court system gives the individual the opportunity to seek redress against government activities.

The evidence in this case, I think, is crystal clear. The first thing I want to talk about, I guess, is the severing of the pipe. I have in front of me Exhibit B 5 -- I'm sorry, Exhibit 45. It was showing up better before. Right here is where this manhole is shown on the

railroad plans, and it shows that the drainage pipe comes over to this ditch. It also shows that the two other pipes go into the manhole here. So I don't think there's much question that with these plans, an engineering department or the City of Toledo should have recognized that that pipe did go someplace, and it -- just severing it and make the decision to sever it before they even dug it up is shocking to me.

And one of the things that the defense is going to talk about, they're going to give you what I call excuses. First, they're going to say Mr. Huber from the Wood County engineer's office said the water ran the other way. So what? It's not his job. He said he had no authority on railroad land, no authority on private property. It's the city's job.

The next thing they're going to say, well, even if we did cut it, it was clogged full of mud. Where are the photographs? We heard from three different city people who went and looked into that manhole. One said it had garbage in it and that was Ric Man Construction. It had garbage in it. The second guy from Ric Man said it had water in it. The third first said it was full of mud. Did it have all three? I don't know.

Then we talk about the next excuse. Laskey and Mr. Taylor didn't pay their taxes. And they said they

didn't pay them, I think, for five years. Well, that's not true. And I think you all -- when you get your tax bills, you recognize that you're paying taxes for the prior year, so when they didn't pay their taxes in 2004, the second half, that was really for the second half of 2003, because your taxes right now, your next tax bill is going to come out most likely is for 2007.

They're going to say no lots were selling in the subdivision. True. True. But I analogize that to

Mr. Taylor having broken his arm, and he can't work for a short time, and then someone comes along and cuts his arm off. There was no way today, when this subdivision -- that anybody is going to buy a lot without corrective action, just not going to happen.

And then they're going it say, well, we didn't go back and plant any trees. No, we didn't, because we went back one day, one day -- and that's all you heard about is we covered up the evidence. Well, we uncovered it. And then the city surveyor never went back, ever, and looked at the evidence, the defendant's own evidence, Exhibit B-2, never went back and looked at the brush and the brambles that you can see here to see where they were.

The other thing, the drawings that the city did, you'll have them. Take a good look at them. They're not signed. They're not sealed. There's no scale. And by

scale, I mean quarter inch equals a foot or quarter inch equals 30 feet. There's no date on them. And most interestingly, there's no brambles or trees shown. All it is, is a sketch.

And think about this, the gentleman that was on the witness stand from the city, he's the guy that screwed up originally. And it would be like a doctor in a malpractice case saying, no, no, I'm an expert, this didn't happen.

We have an expert who came in from Findlay, Ohio who did a drawing and a survey he signed it, it's sealed, it's got a scale on it. This is unrefuted. Where is the city's expert? They didn't have one. They just had a city employee.

Then on the severing of the pipe, there's another excuse, the subdivision flooded beforehand. Well, you heard Mr. Jenkins, who they called, who was the engineer from Peterman who designed the subdivision, in five years after the subdivision was built, he was never called once about any problem with flooding on the subdivision. Yes, Mr. Laskey in his deposition said there was some ponding, but guess what? Mr. Laskey can't hear. And if you read his deposition, you'll look at some of the answers and think, where did that come from? And he's proud, he needs hearing aides. He does wear them sometimes. I -- I

sympathize with him, but there was no flooding on this 1 2 subdivision prior to the city severing of that pipe. MR. BAHRET: Objection, Your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: This is argument of counsel, and I've 5 instructed the jury that this is not evidence, and they can 6 rely on the evidence in the case, unless there's some specific objection you want to make with me. 7 8 MR. BAHRET: Sounded to me like he was testifying. 9 10 THE COURT: I've already instructed the jury that 11 comments of both counsel are not evidence in the case. 12 MR. ROBON: When we look at this drawing and we just think logically, we don't have to be experts, we think 13 14 logically, we have water, the testimony has been it drains 15 down this way. This is the lowest area within a mile. 16 Water goes down hill. We all know that. Well water goes 17 into this pipe. 18 Which interestingly, Cindy Soncrant didn't even 19 know existed. As many times as she was out there, she 20 didn't know it existed. It became if it gets into this 21 pipe and it's draining down here, it's got to go someplace. And what it's doing, it's going right back up in here. 22 23 What has to happen is that that pipe cannot any longer be 24 reconnected. 25 They put a person on the witness stand

yesterday -- I think it's their Exhibit K -- that they said that the pipe, the catch basin was so deep, Mr. McCarthy says there's no way, without removing that 55 or 66-inch pipe, they could ever run a line. Even they wouldn't give us permission any way.

Think about this: The last day, after two years, they come back and say, well, this can be fixed this way. Why haven't they done it in two years? They're not going to do it. They're -- they never intended to do it. They're denying all responsibility here. So what we're going to have to do is, we're going to have to cure it out this way someplace. And it's going to cost a lot of money to do that.

Now, one of the interesting things is

Mr. Stawinski came in and said there was a \$355,000

reduction in value of the subdivision lots, but he had

about a \$600,000 value of the lots, not the house, just the lots, after this happened.

Mr. Dominee, the city's appraiser, came in and said this here is the actual value of not only the lots, but the house. And he said the reduction was only \$20,000. When he subtracted the house, he used the present value. He said the house is worth 310,000, but in his formula, he had to discount it because you may have to carry it for a year or so. So what he did is, he says the lot's actually

only worth \$338,500. And if that's the case, I mean, we 1 2 rely on part of the plaintiff have been damaged. 3 How many of you watch David Letterman? 4 MR. BAHRET: Objection, Your Honor. 5 MR. ROBON: Nobody? 6 I stole something from him. He uses the top ten 7 list of what he does and has a different one every night 8 and I'm a night owl. 9 The City of Toledo made a mistake in its survey. 10 I think we've clearly proven that. There was no reason to 11 cut the trees all the way up to what the city thought the 12 property line was. Couldn't they have stayed 5 feet away? 13 10 feet away? If they would have stayed five or 6 feet 14 away, other than for cutting of the pipe, we wouldn't be 15 here, because they encroached five to 6 feet. But in that 16 five to 6 feet, the brambles were thick, and not only 17 thick, they hung over several feet up in the air. There 18 was no reason for the city to get so close that they 19 trespassed upon our property. There's just no reason for 20 it. 21 The city didn't even show the Cambridge 2.2 subdivision on its plans. Why not? Why not show that 23 there are houses and lots backing up to this property? It's not like it, you know, it was up against the hospice 24

which we heard about or the W.W. Knight Preserve.

25

know, those were all woods. So if they took out a few extra trees there, didn't mean anything. The city spent \$50 million on this project, but it ignored the harm that it caused to the plaintiff.

The city's making a huge profit on this project. They're selling the water, that's why that project is in there. It's not a municipal service for the citizens of Toledo exclusively. They're selling water in Wood County and making money. It's a business. The city paid CSX 2.1 million for a right of way.

How much it would have cost to dig that pipe three or 4 feet deeper? I asked everybody and no one would give me an answer. My guess, probably, a thousand dollars or less. But they just chose not to do it.

The city ignored warnings from both the Wood

County engineer -- I'm not sure, but I think it goes this

way -- and John McCarthy, who was an engineer. McCarthy

said to them e-mails, don't let this happen. And they

ignored it. The city abused its power and it hurt the

plaintiff financially.

You think about a beautiful subdivision that that was -- it was like an encampment, surrounded by beautiful foliage, fences, trees and then the starkness. And when you think about the starkness, you stand in front of Mr. Stawinski's driveway -- let me find the photo here --

and you look out and all you see are railroad tracks or worse yet, you see a train. And looking at that, nobody's going to buy a lot, you know, unless you're going to put a very small house on it.

And then lastly, the plaintiff has been damaged financially by both the trespass and the flooding, and the city just doesn't care. They refused to accept responsibility. And then you ask yourself, Mrs. Soncrant is here, where are the city administrators who knew about all this? They're dodging. They don't want to face this jury. They sent her to take the blame.

Now, if you agree with what we've said, then there'll be an issue of damages. And damages are not to make the plaintiff have a profit or anything like that, damages are to give the plaintiff -- put them back in the position that they were in. And I look through all of these things and these are not to be totaled, these are alternate type things, but the one undisputed damage is the house on lot 15.

Would you pull that -- that first one, there we go.

Exhibit Number 93 -- this has way too much of a zoom on it -- this is lot 15 which is where the house is, and you look at the size of the tree stumps that were cut right up against the railroad fence and the testimony is

that that railroad fence was on the property of Old Granite, pushed over. When you take a look and you see diminishment of value of the spec home on lot 15, the cost was 539, 000. Mr. Domini said it's worth 310 today, up here at a discount, I think he had it at 248, but there is no dispute about that amount. That house has really lost its value. McCarthy said he'd pay 275 for it. But that's the first element of damages.

Then what is the damage to the subdivision itself by the cutting of the trees? Mr. Keesey had a number of 335. The judge instructed you that if we can cure a problem, then we have a duty to do that. We brought in Mr. Herrett, they did not bring in an arborist.

Mr. Herrett said one important thing, you can't plant trees where there's water.

Number two, you're going to have to bring top soil in. His estimate to replace the trees was \$134,000, but he said they were only five or 6-foot tall, and it would take ten years for them really to be replaced. And he put a value of \$33,500 per lot that was affected, and he said there were five lots across the street that were affected because they look at it just like Mr. Stawinski looks at it.

So -- and then you take Mr. Jenkins said top soil was about 20 yards -- 20-dollar a yard. If you build a

wall 9-feet high, 10-feet wide, roughly 500-feet long, it's 45,000 cubic feet divided by yards. There's 27 cubic feet in a yard, you multiply it out, you got 33,000. If you build a bigger wall, a mound, you've got to have a bull dozer, you know, there's going to be extra expense, but this item and this item and this item go together or it's this item.

And then we talk about how do we solve the water problem?) There are three different things that were spoken of. Mr. Huber said it would cost roughly \$200,000 for a pumping station. We may not be able to do that because we would have to get permission from CSX. They may just say no. In addition to that, we would have to get permission from the adjacent property owner where that manhole is. They can say no or they can say, you know, give us 25,000, 50,000, otherwise, we're not going to let you do it. So we looked at putting a separate line out to River Road, the estimate was \$200,000. There's no contrary estimates from the City of Toledo. The City of Toledo didn't bring an engineer in here and say that could be done for 150 or 120 or anything like that. They didn't do that.

Then the question is, will a retention pond be necessary? You heard the city's own expert Todd Jenkins say very well could. They don't want all that water rushing into the rivers and creeks, you know, as the rain

comes very fast.

You heard Mr. Laskey talk about the cost of canceling the auction, paying for the brochures, and so forth. The auction was canceled because who is going to buy a lot and what reputation would the subdivision when, you know, dozens or hundreds of people come out and they see something like this? Nobody, just not going to happen.

so when you talk about damages, you have one element here or here, and you have the second element, the spec home damages. And then you have the third element, the ponding of the water. And then you have, you know, a few dollars on the expenses for canceling the auction, but that's minor. I put other things down here, interest expense, I know, it's questionable. I didn't put a dollar figure, a couple of years taxes, questionable. I didn't put a dollar figure. But when you add these numbers up, we're talking about significant dollars, but you're talking about a subdivision that \$2 million was spent on the thing, and there have only been eight lots sold. And if you look at what Mr. Domini says, the whole thing is only worth 338,850. Somebody has really been harmed here.

The Court already indicated to you in instructions that if the city directed the contractors to do certain things to sever the pipe or to cut the trees, the city's responsible. They have the liability. When you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
deliberate, you'll ask yourselves why are we here? And the
reason you're here is the City of Toledo administration,
the Finkbeiner administration simply says no, the hell with
you. We are not going to pay. We didn't do this and we
think we have defenses --
         MR. BAHRET: Your Honor, I object to this.
          THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that objection.
I'll have the jury disregard that comment of counsel.
          MR. ROBON:
                     Thank you, Your Honor.
          The city government has simply felt they had
enough excuses to take the case to a jury and try and
convince you folks --
         MR. BAHRET: I object to this also.
          THE COURT: Lets keep our focus on what the
evidence -- what you believe the evidence is, please.
Thank you.
         MR. ROBON:
                     Thank you, Judge.
          The -- but what you heard from the witness stand
from the defense, you heard excuses.
          And think about this, we brought our appraiser
    They brought one in. Their appraiser says the thing
in.
is worth less than our appraiser. Although our appraiser
says it was worth a lot more before the brambles and trees
were cut down. We brought an arborist in. They didn't
bring anybody in. We brought an outside licensed engineer
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

surveyor in -- or I'm sorry, surveyor. They didn't. cost of getting a survey is probably \$2,000. Why not? Because they didn't want any other third party to confirm what Mr. Nye did. And then they say, you know, we covered up -- we covered up the mud. Well, we then went back and exposed the roots so everybody could see. And then they kind of, I felt, accused that somehow we had a bulldozer over there and were picking up the tree stumps and the roots and were moving them. I was kind of surprised by that attitude of the city. They know darn well that those roots and trees where they were originally. But these are the things that you'll have to take into consideration when you go into deliberations. We hope that you will find for the plaintiff. I'll have an opportunity to address you again after Mr. Bahret gives you the city's side of the case. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. Bob? MR. BAHRET: Good morning. Please The Court, counsel, ladies and gentlemen. This is my opportunity to tell you what I think

the evidence showed and also to talk to you about some of

the matters that Mr. Robon just discussed. I hope you

understand that he gets an opportunity to come up here after I speak, and I'm not even allowed to respond. I'd ask you and your wisdom, you probably can figure out what sort of things I'd say and keep that in mind. I'm not allowed to respond to that final argument. The judge already told you he gets the last word, and it's because he has the burden of proof. And the city does not. And that's the law's way of kind of evening up the scales, give somebody the last word, it's a powerful tool.

Now, with that in mind, you've probably sensed already that what counsel wants you to do is get mad at the City of Toledo. I hope you understand that getting mad at the city is not part of your function, you're supposed to decide the case without emotion and not let a verdict be impacted upon by whatever your feelings, your personal feelings might be. Frankly, I don't think the city has taken an unreasonable position, and I am absolutely outraged by a suggestion of counsel that the city didn't try to be a good neighbor and come to an accommodation.

I'm outraged by that. He's arguing outside the record, not within the bounds of the law. We're supposed to follow the rules, and we're not allowed to talk about efforts to resolve a dispute, so let's put all that aside.

What we have here is a situation where a plaintiff is trying to claim damages far beyond whatever is

2.2

reasonable. They're trying to claim rights to things that they never had before. You have in front of you a plaintiff -- that wants to pretend that this was a viable subdivision when it was, in reality, dead. You want -- we have a plaintiff that wants to claim that they had this perfect shield behind the subdivision, hey, I didn't know a train was there. But yet everybody acknowledged they did know a train was there. You could see it, and historically, it is proven that nobody wanted one of those lots.

We have a -- we have a plaintiff in front of us that's claiming damages for lots across the street, all these lots over here, four through eight, are supposedly claiming damages for trees taken down from neighboring property. You know what? Even if those trees were taken down from Cambridge property, they don't have the legal right. We talked about this in voir dire.

This Stawinski who lives here, whoever's not here, they're not even parties this case. They don't have the right to claim damages. Even if lots, 13, 14, and 15 were solid woods, not even a house there, solid woods and we knocked every tree down there, they're out of luck. That's the law.

The trees -- and on page 8 of your instructions, the judge specifically tells you to be actionable, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trees must be on their property, not the railroad property, not anywhere else.

Ladies and gentlemen, let's talk about the issues separately. First, the trespass issue. I don't quite know why it's offensive that we would bring the people that did the survey in to describe what they did and what they checked afterwards, but that's what we did. They're the people with the most knowledge. And they're qualified and the two of them are licensed and was under them. qualified and they checked -- and I hope you noticed in the surveys that they did, they didn't just go up and say, oh, here's a fence, let's, you know, we're just going to peg along the fence. They -- they described carefully what they would do, they'd go up this -- whatever their measurement was -- they called it an offset, if you remember -- that agree to that measurement, if it didn't conflict with anything, great, we'll mark there. If it conflicts, we'll give honor to what it conflicts with, we'll back up. That's what they said, that's what they did.

And you heard Nick Nye, who was the Peterman guy that did that one exhibit -- excuse me -- show encroachments. He specifically said that is an acceptable way to do things in the survey industry. It may not be the way he would have done it, but it is an accepted technique.

So then after the fact, they're out there trying to figure out where the line is. Did we encroach or not? We were out there trying to figure it out. We didn't just ignore them. We went out there, more than once.

And what, finally, the conclusion was that there may have been over towards lot 16, that's the interesting thing. Over towards lot 16, maybe as much as 4-inches of an encroachment. Plaintiff's survey says there was no encroachment on lot 16. And so coming down 4-inches down to zero by the time you get down to lot 14, you know, 4-inches, 2-inches, ten, how big of a -- how many trees or brambles are removed in an inch if there was an encroachment at all? And I respectfully submit that the answer is nothing of any consequence could possibly have been removed.

We know for a fact that the plaintiff in this development cleared things behind that subdivision. In fact, we know they had to. They were required by their own drainage plan that they filed and got approved right here, says the contractor shall clear and grade all rear lot lines for pipes, utilities and drainage. In fact, you'll see on the construction plans -- this, by the way, is page 9 of this huge document over here, multiple drawings. These are the plans for the subdivision drawn up by Peterman. The drainage plan is page 9. On other pages

you'll also see that there's an easement along the back of the subdivision, I think it's 30 feet. And "easement," meaning any of the utilities could come in there and dig everything up and have no duty to put anything back, dig it up to put their utilities in or out or repair them --

MR. ROBON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that because most easements don't say that.

THE COURT: Well, the jury can read the document and determine what it wishes.

MR. BAHRET: Thank you.

So what I was getting at is, we know the plaintiff, the developer, their contractor, cleared things on the back. They admit it. In fact, you might remember I asked Mr. Laskey who cut down the trees that were further away than what you claim we encroached on? He doesn't know, but he assumes maybe they were dead or dieing and somebody cut them down.

You heard testimony from -- frankly, I forget which witness it was, I think it might have been Carl Copox -- but when he's out there doing work, people are in Cambridge property, he thought they're working for McCarthy cutting trees down in there -- it wasn't Copox because his company removed, if they cut a tree down, they took it and mulched it and sold the mulch. They didn't leave any trees there. If they cut them, they took them. So if somebody's

cutting a tree with a chain saw on Cambridge property, that's them, not us or any agent of ours.

2.2

Now, how do you know that the trees that were found were cut by Vermillion as opposed to cut a year or two earlier or even that year by them? There's no evidence on that point, none.

So what you're left with is testimony that we should only focus on these four lots are the only ones where they even claim anybody encroached. They don't claim anything on 16 or 9, 10 or 11. And you know that there are no trees behind nine, ten and 11, none. And you know that everybody would be able to see the railroad, even if lot 12, 13, 14, 15 were solid woods and there were no houses there, we'd still see the railroad. We'd still hear the railroad.

And we'd still have trouble peddling those lots. You saw the preconstruction video, and I think that thing speaks volumes. In the preconstruction video, you didn't have any trouble seeing that spec house. You didn't have any trouble seeing the dirt that had been brought in to try to raise up lots nine, ten, and 11, all piled up over there and you didn't have any trouble seeing it because it's not this huge line of brambles and trees that they pretend was there. Sure there was some trees and brambles there, but not this screen that just made the railroad, you know,

you're oblivious to a train. It wasn't like that, and that video proves it.

The -- the -- the value issue, they bring in an expert that says that this place has substantial value. He said they probably weren't selling lots because they were overpriced even before anything was done. Why have they not tried to sell anything since the trees were cut?

Mr. Laskey says what's the point? You know, their own expert says they're still have value, so sell them for what that value supposedly is or at least try to, you know.

Instead, they want to just say, look, he wants to say despite their own expert, that the place is worth nothing, and so I'm not going to make any payments on it, and I'm going to charge the city for my payments and my interest.

I'm not going to pay taxes. And oh, by the way, I haven't paid them since 2003, but it's your fault, City of Toledo. It's all your fault now.

The neighborhood, the subdivision, was simply not suitable for the market. And the -- did you notice in the comparables when they -- they came up with comparables near railroads elsewhere. And I don't want to be a snob when I say this and I hope you don't take it that way, but did you notice that those comparables were all substantially smaller more affordable homes? The intent of this neighborhood was to go for the wealthy, the richest of the

rich. If you are that rich, are you going to back up to a railroad or are you going to go out somewhere different?

And I respectfully submit you're going to go somewhere different. You're going to go to an exclusive neighborhood that doesn't have those noise obstructions. You're not going to build your house next to the airport. You're not going to build your house next to a, you know, a train station. You're just not going to do it.

Now, let's talk about the -- the water for a minute. The plan that counsel showed you where he claims this shows other pipes going into the catch basin, that's ridiculous. It's showing you the property line. You can look at the plan. It's not showing pipes.

You heard the testimony from the experts that actually know how to read these things. The only thing that was depicted on the railroad plan that Mr. Huber brought out there was the pipe under the abandoned right of way. It's a 24-inch culvert and they call a culvert a pipe. You and I might call it a pipe. It doesn't show where that went. It doesn't show where anything else was going, it just shows a 24-inch diameter pipe and it -- and it says the length of it. That's all the information that was there. That's why Mr. Huber didn't know what this pipe actually did.

By the way, speaking of Mr. Huber, again, a

1.3

little infraction that kind of frosted me, Huber specifically told you that he told the city that he is -- his opinion was that water was coming from the railroad to private property. You heard him say that. He also specifically told you that he never told Christy Soncrant or anybody associated with the city or Ric Man or anybody else anything different, and the reason was because he didn't tumble with that information until long after the fact, so he figured why bother.

You heard Mr. Robon say something that's, again, improper, shouldn't have heard it. He said, well, maybe that was the deposition. That comment is outrageous, outside the rules.

Who here really thinks if Huber said that in the deposition -- which I'm here to tell you he did not -- who here thinks if he had said in deposition, oh, yeah, I told the city that, that he wouldn't have hit him over the head with that comment? You know his attitude to the city, he would have been flaring that thing up here and had it under this little device showing Huber you said you told the city. Reason he didn't do that is because it didn't happen.

Huber never has claimed he told the city. He's always claimed exactly what he told you from that stand, he never told the city that he came to information that the

pipe, if it's working, if it's working, it would be moving water the other way.

Nobody has refuted the fact that that pipe simply was not operable. You heard the testimony that the conclusion was -- and I submit to you it's a reasonable conclusion -- that the pipe was simply abandoned. It's old, it was dilapidated. It's under an abandoned railroad line. What function was it serving? Nobody could figure out what function it was serving. And in fact, believed at the time that if they severed it, it might actually -- if it were transporting water, they might actually be doing a favor to the landowners next to it, but yet they want you to act like the city is unfeeling.

Why hasn't the city put a pipe back in? You heard Christy say -- now he's got me calling her Sandy -- you heard Christy say that she doesn't really believe putting that pipe back in would solve the problem because she doesn't believe that's where the source of the water is. That's why it hasn't been replaced. But it doesn't mean they're entitled to anything more than that if that's the source of the water problem. You know, they come up with estimates. If, you know, we had ponding there -- in fact, the guy that lived there all his life called it flooding there for as long as he's been alive. As long as he's been alive is what he said, and I think he was 52. So

obviously long before any pipe here was cut, but they don't want to acknowledge that. They want to claim there's flooding only because of the city activities.

On the flooding issue also, there was no evidence that there was any ponding or flooding on any of these lots back here except Laskey now claiming, no pictures. And if you believe McCarthy even when he's outside with a video camera trying to take pictures where it's coming from and where it's going, didn't go down there and look. Oh, I never looked down there. Who really believes you wouldn't look for the sources of the water if you've got your video camera documenting evidence when you're trying to do it?

And also keep in mind and you've got the diagrams in evidence. We create a major dam with dirt here. We, being McCarthy in his wisdom, dumping a bunch of dirt here stopping everybody said the water, generally, this is the low spot, water's generally going in this direction from left to right on this screen here. Well, it can't move with this dam, so if there's any ponding over here, you know who's fault that is?

And this -- the pond behind 16 -- this one mystifies me -- you have a person who says that there's pipes going along the railroad right of way moving water. This is the low spot. So water's coming down from both directions. And I'll just knock a hole in that pipe full

2.2

of water and put a pipe out here in the back of lot 16 and I'm going to make things better. I submit that makes no sense. What that's going to do is feed my pond. It isn't going to make water move out of my pond. It's going to make water go into my ponding problem.

On the -- their arborist, they say we didn't bring in an arborist and that's another bad thing for the city. We had an arborist scheduled to testify. The decision was to either let Mrs. Soncrant talk about his opinion or you'd be hearing evidence this morning. I told you he was out of town because his mother is dieing of cancer, so we didn't bring him here personally.

But our arborist who gave the information to Christy says that we could put trees back in here, same thing Bob Domini actually came up with, actually. Our guy says for \$22,500. Domini said about \$20,000. And it will actually be a lot more trees than even they allege were removed. And it would be decorative brush, not scrub brush or leaves or brambles, it would be decorative stuff that would enhance things.

But yet what they want is this big mound and top soil and improve the soil better than it ever was before.

They want betterment. They want a mound that they never had before. They want more trees than they ever had before, and they want a more impenetrable barrier than they

1.3

ever had before, and they want it all on the City of Toledo nickel.

The -- their arborist, I mean, maybe I don't quite understand the logic, but even though he comes up with a much higher number to fix this, \$134,000, even though that's the same fix that would apply for these other lots, the people -- a couple of them who aren't even parties in the case -- he wants you to ascribe the same number up there. So what he is he doing, because there's more lots involved here than the three lots down here, he actually is almost tripling the claim damage that -- the fix it damage, because he wants to ascribe value the same fix.

Fix is everything, so why -- if you decide that it costs \$134,000 to plant all these trees, don't lose sight of the fact that that fixes this. You don't award it two or three times.

I know Marve loves his top ten stuff, comes up with these every case. And the theme here is the City of Toledo, bad City of Toledo, keep in mind, like things, like number 9, no reason to cut trees all the way up to what the city thought was the property line? What he's trying to get you to do is award damages for something that legally you're not allowed to award damages for. He wants you to award damages for the removal of trees on the railroad

property line. 1 2 MR. ROBON: Your Honor, I object it that. 3 never asked for that. 4 MR. BAHRET: Then why did you put this here? 5 THE COURT: Again, gentlemen, let's keep your 6 comments focused, please, and you should be talking to the 7 jury, not with each other. And again, ladies and gentlemen, I'll remind you, 8 9 comments of counsel are not evidence in this case, and you 10 may choose to believe or disbelieve the arguments of 11 counsel. 12 You may proceed. 13 MR. BAHRET: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 Legally --15 THE COURT: And let's not talk legally, please. 16 The instructions on legally come from me. 17 MR. BAHRET: I submit to you the city had every 18 right to go right up to the property line if it wanted to 19 or needed to, even if it didn't need to. It's not 20 actionable unless those trees and vegetation were removed from Cambridge. And the judge just told you that on page 21 22 8. So the judge has already told you that for it to be 23 actionable, the vegetation must be removed from Cambridge 24 property, yet they're making an argument that we shouldn't 25 have gone as far as the property line, even though there's

no prohibition against that.

He says there's no reason for the city to get so close, but yet you heard from the people that installed the pipe, they needed all this space. In fact, they artificially created more space on the other side away from Cambridge, I think, by filling the ditch temporarily so they could who have their equipment, and then digging it back out as they go. They needed all that space.

The idea of huge profits, what do you think that's all about? Big bad city again. There's no evidence of how much profit, if any, the city made. They spent \$50 million on it, I doubt they made 50 million bucks on water so far.

And abused its power, the city abused its power and hurt the plaintiff. The city didn't abuse any power. It's trying to put a water main in there. That's not an abuse of power. That's trying to service citizens. The water main goes from the City of Toledo through Wood County back to the City of Toledo, and it was designed to be a backup. You heard Christy say the primary purpose is a backup in case the other water main broke. And it also happens to serve LOF, one of Toledo's largest employers.

On the crossover pipe issue that Mr. McCarthy says, actually Mr. Robon says you couldn't put it back in.

Measurements were done, Christy made the calculations, and

that crossover pipe could be put back in if you conclude that the crossover pipe issue is what causes the flooding. It could be done.

We don't -- it's true, we don't believe that's the fix. But if you don't believe that that crossover pipe, replacing it would fix the problem, then you've proven my point, that cutting it didn't create the problem. And therefore, all this other noise about retention ponds and sewers going out to River Road, all of that just is betterment for the plaintiff to help a situation that was there any way.

On the retention pond issue, by the way, I hope you know they've ramped up their numbers. The guy that actually built a retention pond said he could do it for 35 or \$40,000. That was Taylor, but yet they come -- McCarthy says, oh, no it's going to take \$200,000 for this pond. That's similar to all of the numbers they've got on the board. They're all ramped up.

When you go back in that jury room, you need to consider why did they not sell any lots for two-and-a-half years, but yet they're telling you these lots would be going like hot cakes but for the removal of a few trees? Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you that there is insufficient evidence, in fact, there is no credible evidence to show that lot sales have been negatively

impacted because of the removal of those trees, none.

I also submit to you there's insufficient evidence to show that cutting that crossover pipe has affected the ponding of water situation. Remember the fact that Mr. McCarthy made some modifications, shall we say, including creating an earthen dam, which did cover up evidence. And that earthen dam, not only covers up evidence of the trees and brambles, but it prevents the proper flow of water pursuant to that drainage plan that Mr. Jenkins came up with.

And knocking a hole in the railroad pipe didn't help things either. Jenkins didn't even know that.

You know, I asked him that question cold.

Usually an attorney isn't supposed to ask a question in court unless he already knows the answer. I didn't know what he'd say. You could see the shock in his face.

Why on earth would somebody put a hole on the side of that pipe? That's going to make things worse. I'd recommend they plug that back up.

When all is said and done, if you believe there was a trespass, the judge has told you that you have to, at least, award a buck, nominal damage.

If you don't believe there was a trespass -- as I don't -- then that should be found in favor of the city.

If you believe that there was not only a trespass, but an

appreciable amount of trees that you can measure or value of those trees was taken down and you would have to award some damage number for that.

But keep in mind, you've got some -- some estimates for what it would take to actually put some tree itself, including Domini talked about river birch. I don't know if you know river birch. It's a beautiful tree and it gross fast. By the way, it likes water. So you can put stuff back in there, if you really wanted to.

The judge is instructing you on a duty to mitigate damages. You've got a plaintiff saying I can't sell lots because I don't have vegetation back there, and in two years has done nothing to put vegetation back there. If that's all it takes to sell lots is put some trees in, then put them in. I think the plaintiff knows that isn't going to sell lots.

The water issue for you to be convinced that the city is liable in damages for that, you've got to be convinced that the cutting of the pipe is the cause of the water. And in view of the fact that you know they had a water problem back there even before the pipe was cut, then you must have sufficient evidence before you can award anything as to how to measure the difference, how much worse. How often is the water there? Does the water have anything to do with impacting sales of lots? And I submit

the answer to all of those things is no. There's no evidence that cutting that pipe caused anything.

So with all -- when all is said and done, I'm asking you to return a verdict in favor of the city on all issues. And it's not because the mayor didn't come here personally to explain something that he really wasn't ever really involved in. The person that was involved in it is the person before you. That's why she's here. She's not here because she's -- the mayor sends her over here to take a fall like Mr. Robon wants you to believe. She's here because she's the one involved in the project. If I brought the mayor or somebody like that who would just sit there like a bump and not be able to testify to anything, that would be the insult, not bringing the person that really knows what's going on.

As I told you before, when I sit down, I'm not allowed to come back up here and address any other things
Mr. Robon said. But I think you know the arguments that we would make.

Ladies and gentlemen, jury service is important, I agree with Mr. Robon on that. I really do. It's a tough job. You've put in a long week, and we thank you for that service.

Everybody comes into court saying they want justice, and it's -- it's true we want justice. But keep

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in mind, justice is not necessarily synonomous with money. Sometimes justice means just saying no. Thank you. THE COURT: Rebuttal? MR. ROBON: Thank you, Your Honor. First, I never had a defense lawyer use my board. When you go into the jury room, you're going to have a number of exhibits. What you want to remember is the flooding. I believe Ms. Soncrant said -- I may have made a mistake. If we look at the very -- I think it was the first witness, Mr. Sumner, lives over here on Bates Road, he said all these people on Bates Road drain their septic tanks into this manhole. Obviously, they drain their eavesdrops and everything else into it. That's what that was designed for, is to take care of this bad water in that area. Because when the railroad was constructed, the railroad is always raised, it's always raised 6 or 8 feet so it's never under water. And when they raised it, they had to make sure that the water that was over here got into a ditch. It's the purpose of that manhole. And everybody knows it, except the city refuses to acknowledge responsibility for it. Say it was plugged, 24-inch tile, if it was two-thirds plugged, it will still work, it's like an old

car. You can have a 15-year-old car that has holes in the fenders that, you know, maybe the radio doesn't work, but the car can get you back and forth to work, so you use it. We're not saying that that pipe was new. We're saying it functioned.

Then take a look at the exhibit with these monuments. The city never said that they found the monuments when they did their original ribbons. How they missed them is beyond me because they stick up out of the ground.

They said Mr. Herrett says the brambles are not real thick. We had two witnesses identify brambles. You take a look at this Exhibit 19. Those look pretty thick and those don't have leaves on. You can imagine with leaves on in the summertime, you can have 4 or 5 feet of brambles, and I wouldn't be able to see the people in the back row of the jury. I might see an outline of somebody, but that would be it.

I told you about excuses. Mr. Bahret came up with a couple of more. First thing he said was about Mr. Huber and the deposition, that I would have jumped up there with the deposition. Candidly, I don't believe Mr. Huber's deposition was ever transcribed --

MR. BAHRET: I've got it.

MR. ROBON: I don't have it. I didn't pay for

You have to pay for depositions to get them 1 2 transcribed, \$3 a page, or whatever. Didn't think I would 3 need it. 4 And then he comes up with an excuse that maybe 5 the plaintiff cut the trees. That's like saying I saw you 6 run over me with a car, and I hurt myself, I intentionally 7 stepped in front of the car. To suggest that the plaintiff, Mr. McCarthy, cut those trees is beyond belief. 8 They're desperate. The city is desperate in this case. 9 10 If the city didn't encroach, where are those 11 thousands of brambles that Nick Nye says are shown on that 12 survey? The city had to cover up what they did. 13 surveyor, if he signed that survey and we took that survey 14 to the state licensing board and showed that it was wrong, he'd lose his license. 15 16 MR. BAHRET: Objection. 17 THE COURT: Again, let's keep our focus on what 18 you believe the evidence has shown. 19 MR. ROBON: That's why that survey wasn't signed 20 or dated or had a scale on it or had anything on it. 21 The city never explained to you why they didn't 22 go out and get another opinion from an outside surveyor. Silence. Silence is like a criminal.

take the witness stand, but you wonder why they don't. And

the reason they don't, because they're generally guilty.

It doesn't have to

23

24

25

The city could have cured these problems when they occurred. They say, well, we could have gone in and we could have gone in and planted trees. Planting trees right now is not going to solve the problem. The water problem has to go away. Plus, Mr. Laskey didn't -- Mr. Taylor didn't have any money, which makes it even worse.

You have to think about what the city did with the severing of the pipe. They made a knee-jerk reaction. It would be like you go to the doctor and say, doctor, I have all these symptoms, and you really have cancer, but he doesn't do a test on you that he should do. Professionals do testing, that's what professionals are taught to do. Lawyers do research. That's what we're taught to do. Engineers do calculations and find out how to solve problems.

The City of Toledo, to this day cannot tell you where that water is coming from. You ask yourself why?

Mr. McCarthy told him. We know where it's coming from.

They -- they refuse to recognize it. They don't want to recognize it. They want to put their head in the sand and say, no, we didn't do anything wrong. Why no testing? Not only no testing when they severed the pipe, why no testing for the last two years?

When Mr. Bahret talked about the damages that

Mr. Fayette talked about, the tree arborist, he said this is what it would cost to plant, but these are only 6 feet tall. These aren't 15 or 18 or 20 feet tall. What he did is he came up with a higher number of 310,000 to compensate for the time that it would take these trees to grow up, branch out, and back a screen like was there before.

This is simply a case about government versus a citizenry. Every person who testified in this case, other than Mr. Jenkins and Mr. Domini, were city employees or contractor employees. They didn't bring any outside engineers in, they didn't bring any outside surveyors in, and you ask yourself why? Just why?

When you go into deliberations, you're going to say, well, why we're here? I think you understand why you're here now. You'll ask yourself did the city do these wrongs? I think that we've given you a lot of evidence and documentation that they did.

The worse part of a case like this is that this case, if the city would have acknowledged responsibility two years ago, they could have put that pipe in while they were excavating for that 66-inch storm water drain, just put that one down 2 or 3 feet deeper, ran a pipe over it. You know, thousand dollars, I bet. But they refused. They were arrogant. Arrogant. They wouldn't even consider that.

And then they -- think about it this, they severed that -- they agreed to sever that pipe before the contractor even got to it. Then, of course, they say, well, it was plugged, it wasn't working.

I didn't ask the mayor to come here.

Mrs. Soncrant doesn't report to the mayor. There's levels of management that were above her that were involved in this management decision. They don't want to take the responsibility. This is the only time that the plaintiff will be able to come to court, can't go against anybody else, that we could have a 40-year flood tomorrow, it could flood the house. We can't ever come back. One lawsuit, ever.

When you go into your deliberations, I want you to remember one thing, people are going to ask you this Labor Day weekend, they're going to ask you next month, next year, several years from now, tell us about the case that you were involved in. What was it about and what did happen? You want to be proud of your decision. You want to be proud that you set a pattern of not allowing a government to take advantage of a situation, to put its head in the sand and give all kinds of excuses and create real financial harm to a plaintiff, and when you think about that, you'll realize that's really what happened here. All they're doing is giving you excuses, it's called

defenses. 1 2 And something happened in this trial that I 3 really didn't know how to deal with. Mrs. Soncrant cried, 4 and I feel very bad about that. And I apologize to you for 5 that. But she was emotional and she finally did say, you 6 know, I may have made a mistake. And I give her credit for 7 that, but her bosses won't acknowledge that. 8 I hope that when you sit in the deliberations 9 you'll consider all the things that we spoke about, look at the exhibits, think about logic, every day life. When you 10 11 think about every day life, those of you who own homes, you 12 know water is coming in here. You know that if water is 13 coming in here, it has to go someplace. And one of the 14 reasons they probably bumped the gentleman that was sitting over here --15 16 MR. BAHRET: Objection. 17 THE COURT: Oh, no, Marve. No, no, I sustain 18 that. 19 Anyway, we heard a lot of testimony MR. ROBON: 20 about flooding in Findlay and things like that. 21 THE COURT: You're time is expired, but I'll give 22 you, wrap up, please. 23 MR. ROBON: Please just consider the financial 24 harm to Mr. Taylor and Mr. Laskey when you make a verdict,

hopefully against the City of Toledo.

25

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence is completed. Earlier I instructed you on the law and you have now heard the last from the lawyers. What is next is for me to instruct you on how to conduct your deliberations. This is only a couple pages so bear with me.

When you go to the jury room, your first function will be to select a foreperson to preside over your deliberations. The foreperson does not have any greater power than any other juror, and his or her vote does not have any more importance than other votes.

MR. BAHRET: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I was trying to get this out of the way so I could see.

THE COURT: In order to conclude the case, all of the members of the jury must agree. The foreperson serves the purpose of helping you conduct your deliberations in an orderly manner in giving each of you the opportunity to express your opinion. The foreperson is also responsible for insuring that you conduct your deliberations in accordance with The Court's instructions. One additional duty of the foreperson is to see that the verdict form is returned to The Court after you have reached a verdict.

With regard to note taking, I instructed you at the start of the trial the decision to take notes was

purely your own based upon your own assessment as to whether or not it would assist you in following the evidence. And it may be that some of you took extensive notes, while some of you took very few notes. This is not significant.

What must be stressed is any notes taken by a juror, extensive or few, are not a literal record of the points covered, nor anything close to a literal record.

Moreover, you must bare in mind during your deliberations that the memory of a note-taking juror is in no way more or less reliable than the memory of a juror who chose not to take notes. It is your individual recollection of the testimony that must control and not the existence of any notes.

During your deliberations, you are, of course, permitted to take breaks, however, you may not discuss the case unless all members of the jury are present. So if you separate briefly to take a walk, to take a smoke, to have a snack, and somebody leaves the jury room, you must not discuss the case.

Please make sure our deputy clerk, Carol, knows your whereabouts if you leave the jury room. Also, Carol will collect your cell phones during your deliberations, and I promise we'll return them to you. You are to use the phone in the jury room only to call Carol.

2.2

And when you've reached a signed a verdict, that includes completing interrogatories, you will call Carol, and she'll come and escort you back to the courtroom for the announcement of your verdict.

Until your verdict is announced in open court, no juror is permitted to disclose to anyone, including me, the status of your deliberations or the nature of your verdict.

The Court cannot embody all the law in any single part of the instructions, and considering one portion you must consider it in light of and in harmony with all the instructions. Whether or not certain instructions are applicable may depend upon conclusions you reached on the facts by a preponderance of the evidence. If, during your deliberations, you are in doubt about a portion of these instructions, the foreperson should put your question in writing, including specifically what is requested, and deliver the written question to Carol by calling her on the phone, and she'll come retrieve the note from you.

Ladies and gentlemen, if you have an impression that I have indicated how any disputed fact should be decided, you must put aside such an impression because that decision must be made by you based solely upon the facts presented to you in this courtroom. And if during the course of the trial, I have said or done anything that you consider an indication of my view on the case, you are

instructed to disregard it.

2.2

Circumstances in this case may arouse sympathy for one party or the other. Sympathy is a common, human emotion. The law does not expect you to be free of such normal reactions. However, the law and your oath as jurors requires you to disregard sympathy and not to permit it to influence your verdict. It is your duty to weigh the evidence, to decide the disputed questions of fact, apply the instructions of law to your findings, and render your verdict accordingly.

Your duty as jurors is to arrive at a fair and just verdict. Your initial conduct upon commencing deliberations is a matter of importance. It is not wise to express immediately a determination or to insist upon a certain verdict. Having so expressed yourself, your sense of pride may be aroused and you may hesitate to give up your position, even if it is shown that it is incorrect.

Consult with one another in the jury room.

Deliberate with the view to reaching an agreement, and if you can, do so without disturbing your individual judgment.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself. You should do so, however, only after a discussion of the case with the other jurors. Do not hesitate to change an opinion if convinced it is wrong; however, you should not surrender your opinion concerning the weight of the evidence in order

```
to be congenial or to reach a verdict solely because of the
 1
 2
     opinions of the other jurors.
 3
               Anything further from counsel for either side?
               Plaintiff?
 4
 5
               MR. ROBON:
                          No, Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Defendant?
 7
               MR. WATKINS: No, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
 9
               Ladies and gentlemen, you may now retire to the
10
     jury room, and Carol will follow you shortly with the
11
     exhibits.
12
                    (Jury excused to deliberate at 10:00 a.m.)
13
               MR. BAHRET: Judge, do we have to renew our
     objections on the --
14
15
               THE COURT: On what?
                    (A side-bar conference was had off the
16
17
                    record.)
                           If counsel would gather the exhibits
18
               THE COURT:
19
     for Carol, please, so she can take only the ones that you
20
     agree and I admitted.
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript		
4	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.		
5			
6	s:/ Angela D. Nixon		
7			
8	Angela D. Nixon, RPR, CRR Date		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1014		
\$ Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ D	oc #: 242 Filed: 11/24/08 68 of	
	46 4543 07540	across [2] 977/21 983/12
\$134,000 [3] 977/17 994/5 994/15	4 feet [1] 975/12	act [13] 954/15 955/5 959/20 959/20
\$2 [1] 979/18	4-inches [3] 985/7 985/9 985/11	959/25 959/25 960/3 960/4 960/9 960/9
\$2 million [1] 979/18	40 [1] 950/8	960/13 960/13 991/13
\$2,000 [1] 981/2 \$20,000 [2] 973/21 993/16	40-year [1]	acted [1] 960/2 action [2] 948/15 970/13
\$200,000 [2] 973/21 993/10 \$200,000 [3] 978/10 978/18 997/16	43528 [1]   947/18	actionable [3] 983/25 995/20 995/23
\$22,500 [1] 993/16	43526 [1]   947/16  43537 [1]   947/15	active [1] 957/19
\$3 [1] 1003/2	43624 [1]   947/21	activities [3] 967/18 968/20 992/3
\$33,500 [1] 977/20	45 [1] 968/24	actual [7] 958/16 958/18 961/6 965/7
\$338,500 [1] 974/1	45,000 cubic [1] 978/2	965/15 965/21 973/20
\$355,000 [1] 973/15		actually [12] 973/25 989/15 989/24 991/10
\$40,000 [1] 997/15	5	991/11 993/15 993/15 993/17 994/11
\$50 [2] 975/3 996/12	5 feet [2] 974/12 1002/15	996/24 997/14 999/5
\$50 million [2] 975/3 996/12	50 million [1] 996/12	add [2] 948/8 979/16
\$600,000 [1] 973/17	50,000 [1] 978/16	addition [3] 949/15 949/18 978/13
	500-feet [1] 978/1	additional [1] 1008/21
0	52 [1] 991/25	address [2] 981/16 1000/17
000 [1] 977/4	5259 [1] 947/21	adjacent [1] 978/14
	539 [1] 977/4	administration [2] 980/2 980/3
1	55 [1] 973/3	administrators [1] 976/9
10 [1] 987/10		admission [1] 953/4
10 feet [1] 974/13	6	admit [1] 986/13
10-feet [1] 978/1	6 feet [4] 974/13 974/15 974/16 1005/2	admitted [3] 952/2 952/9 1012/20
10-foot [1] 968/16	6-foot [1] 977/18	advanced [1] 956/9
100 [1] 947/15	6500 [1] 947/16	advantage [2] 968/12 1006/21
10:00 [1] 1012/12	66-inch [2] 973/3 1005/21	advised [1] 948/5
11 [3] 987/10 987/11 987/21	7	affected [3] 977/20 977/22 998/4
12 [1] 987/13		affirmative [1] 949/21
120 [1] 978/21	7050 [1] 947/18	affordable [1] 988/24
13 [2] 983/20 987/13	7800 [1] 947/19	after [12] 949/18 962/1 962/18 971/19
14 [3] 983/20 985/10 987/13	8	973/6 973/18 981/16 982/2 985/1 990/8
<b> 15 [6] 976/19 976/23 977/3 983/20 987/13</b>		1008/23 1011/22
1005/3	8 feet [1] 1001/18	afterwards [1] 984/7
15-year-old [1] 1002/1	861-7800 [1] 947/19	again [14] 964/3 964/11 964/11 964/12
150 [1] 978/21	897-6500 [1] 947/16	964/18 965/24 966/5 981/16 989/25 990/10
16 [6] 985/6 985/7 985/9 987/10 992/21	8:15 a.m [1] 950/11	995/5 995/8 996/10 1003/17
993/1	9	against [6] 968/19 974/24 976/25 996/1
1701 [1] 947/15		1006/10 1007/25
1716 [1] 947/20	9-feet [1] 978/1	agent [3] 955/8 955/11 987/2
18 [1] 1005/3	93 [1] 976/22	agents [2] 955/6 957/16
19 [1] 1002/13	A	ago [1] 1005/20
1983 [3] 948/15 948/18 948/23		agree [8] 963/14 967/22 967/24 976/12
2	a.m [2] 950/11 1012/12	984/16 1000/21 1008/16 1012/20
	abandoned [3] 989/17 991/6 991/7	agreed [2] 952/3 1006/2
2-inches [1] 985/11	ability [1] 954/14	agreement [1] 1011/19
2.1 million [1] 975/10	able [6] 954/12 978/11 987/12 1000/13	aide [1] 955/22
20 feet [1] 1005/3	1002/16 1006/10   Shout [40] 067/7 068/8 068/46 068/22	aides [1] 971/25
20 yards [1] 977/25	about [49] 967/7 968/8 968/16 968/22	air [1] 974/17
20-dollar [1] 977/25	969/10 969/24 970/17 971/5 971/20 973/6	airport [1] 989/6
2003 [2] 970/5 988/16	973/17 974/25 975/21 975/24 976/9 977/6	alive [2] 991/24 991/25
2004 [1]  970/4  2007 [1]  970/7	977/25 978/8 979/2 979/8 979/17 979/18   980/20 981/24 982/22 983/17 984/3 989/9	all [66] 949/14 951/20 952/1 954/1 954/8 957/8 959/23 962/2 963/13 963/14 963/15
	993/9 993/16 996/10 997/8 999/6 1002/19	963/16 963/19 964/4 964/13 965/2 966/5
2008 [1] 947/6  23 [1] 947/6	1002/20 1004/8 1004/25 1005/1 1005/7	966/16 967/22 968/1 969/23 970/2 970/17
24-inch [4] 967/14 989/18 989/21 1001/24	1006/1 1006/17 1006/18 1006/24 1007/4	971/3 972/16 973/10 974/11 975/1 976/1
248 [1] 977/5	1007/9 1007/10 1007/11 1007/20 1010/14	976/10 976/16 978/24 982/23 983/12
25,000 [1]   978/16	above [3] 966/3 1006/7 1013/4	985/13 985/20 987/21 988/17 988/23
25,000 [1]   978/10  260-5259 [1]   947/21	above [5]   9603 10007 1013/4     above-entitled [1]   1013/4	989/22 991/23 994/1 994/15 994/21 996/4
27 cubic [1] 978/2	absolutely [1] 982/17	996/8 996/10 997/8 997/9 997/17 997/18
275 [1] 977/7	abuse [2] 996/15 996/17	998/20 999/14 1000/1 1000/3 1000/3
	abused [3] 975/19 996/14 996/14	1000/4 1001/12 1004/11 1006/22 1006/25
3	accept [4] 950/23 952/5 954/7 976/7	1007/9 1008/15 1009/17 1010/8 1010/10
3 feet [1] 1005/22	acceptable [1] 984/23	allege [1] 993/17
30 feet [2] 971/2 986/2	accepted [1] 984/25	alleged [1] 955/24
310 [1] 977/4	accommodation [1] 982/19	alleges [1] 949/21
310,000 [2] 973/23 1005/4	accordance [1] 1008/21	allow [1] 962/19
33,000 [1] 978/3	accordingly [1] 1011/10	allowed [5] 982/2 982/5 982/22 994/24
335 [1] 977/11	accumulation [2] 957/23 958/5	1000/17
338,850 [1] 979/21	accuracy [1] 953/25	allowing [1] 1006/20
35 [1] 997/14	accused [1] 981/8	almost [1] 994/11
3:06CV2950 [1] 947/3	acknowledge [3] 992/2 1001/22 1007/7	along [5] 950/17 970/11 984/13 986/1
	acknowledged [2] 983/7 1005/19	992/23

992/6 993/1993/14 996/8 996/19 996/24 987/10 989/1 989/1 989/2 995/9 1002/11 997/1 997/19 998/19 999/9 999/12 999/13 already [7] 964/7 972/10 979/22 982/6 1003/10 1003/11 1004/13 1005/2 1006/15 999/21 1000/17 1002/3 1002/17 1005/6 982/11 995/22 998/15 1009/7 1009/15 1009/17 1009/24 1010/11 1006/12 1010/3 also [19] 948/12 950/7 952/10 955/21 1010/14 1010/25 backing [1] 974/23 backup [2] 996/20 996/21 area [2] 972/15 1001/16 956/15 957/21 958/3 960/8 969/2 980/13 bad [5] 993/7 994/20 996/10 1001/15 981/24 986/1 990/4 992/4 992/13 996/21 aren't [2] 994/7 1005/3 998/2 1008/19 1009/22 arguing [1] 982/20 1007/4 argument [3] 972/4 982/5 995/24 alternate [1] 976/18 Bahret [5] 947/17 947/17 981/16 1002/19 although [2] 962/12 980/22 arguments [8] 948/12 949/14 949/15 1004/25 always [3] 990/24 1001/18 1001/18 950/15 953/6 966/18 995/10 1000/18 balanced [1] 951/22 am [1] 982/17 arm [2] 970/10 970/11 bar [1] 1012/16 amount [6] 961/5 965/14 965/21 966/2 around [1] 967/5 bare [1] 1009/9 arouse [1] 1011/2 aroused [1] 1011/16 977/6 999/1 bares [1] 960/25 amounted [1] 955/20 Barkan [1] 947/14 arrive [1] 1011/11 amounts [1] 966/10 barrier [1] 993/25 analogize [1] 970/9 arrogant [2] 1005/24 1005/24 based [7] 956/9 956/10 956/12 956/14 Angela [3] 947/20 1013/6 1013/8 artificially [1] 996/5 957/1 1009/1 1010/22 announced [1] 1010/5 as [53] 948/14 948/17 948/17 948/25 basin [2] 973/2 989/11 announcement [1] 1010/4 949/15 949/24 950/17 950/24 951/8 952/5 Bates [2] 1001/11 1001/12 952/11 953/4 953/11 953/14 954/4 955/1 another [6] 952/24 960/20 971/15 993/7 be [71] 1003/22 1011/18 955/2 955/16 955/18 956/2 956/11 956/16 bear [1] 1008/6 another's [1] 959/5 beautiful [3] 975/21 975/22 999/7 957/6 959/22 960/24 961/3 961/13 962/5 answer [14] 949/19 953/13 957/1 963/8 962/6 962/8 963/16 966/6 967/14 968/8 became [1] 972/20 963/12 963/14 963/18 963/19 964/13 965/1 972/19 972/19 978/25 985/7 985/7 987/4 because [36] 951/3 954/6 966/10 967/21 975/13 985/14 998/15 1000/1 991/24 991/24 991/24 995/25 967/25 968/10 970/5 970/16 973/24 974/15 answered [5] 953/15 963/24 964/6 965/18 995/25 996/8 998/23 999/23 1000/16 977/22 978/12 979/4 981/3 982/6 986/7 986/22 987/22 988/5 990/7 990/21 991/17 965/24 1009/1 1011/5 1011/11 ascribe [2] 994/8 994/12 answers [3] 953/8 956/24 971/23 992/3 993/11 994/9 994/12 998/1 999/12 anticipated [2] 959/24 960/24 aside [3] 963/1 982/23 1010/21 1000/5 1000/9 1000/11 1001/17 1002/9 1003/25 1010/21 1012/1 any [59] 948/25 949/4 952/2 952/3 952/4 ask [13] 950/14 950/17 967/16 976/8 953/3 953/12 953/14 954/1 954/5 954/8 980/1 982/3 998/14 1004/18 1005/12 Becic [1] 948/16 954/8 954/16 955/9 955/10 955/22 956/1 1005/15 1006/5 1006/15 1006/16 been [24] 948/2 951/19 952/22 953/13 956/12 956/13 957/2 957/7 960/15 960/15 asked [4] 975/12 986/14 995/3 998/13 956/13 957/4 960/23 962/20 964/7 966/21 asking [2] 956/20 1000/4 960/24 965/15 965/17 965/21 970/16 972/14 974/2 976/5 979/19 979/21 985/6 971/20 972/23 973/5 981/3 985/14 986/3 asks [1] 963/7 985/15 986/19 987/20 990/19 991/19 986/24 987/2 987/19 987/20 987/22 988/13 991/24 991/25 997/25 assessment [1] 1009/1 assign [1] 954/3 992/1 992/5 992/5 992/19 996/11 996/15 before [20] 947/10 949/1 950/15 962/1 997/11 997/20 1000/17 1004/6 1005/10 assist [2] 953/6 1009/2 968/25 969/7 980/23 983/2 988/6 992/1 1005/11 1008/10 1008/11 1008/12 1009/6 associated [1] 990/6 993/22 993/24 993/25 994/1 999/21 999/22 1009/13 1010/8 1010/20 Associates [1] 947/17 1000/8 1000/16 1005/6 1006/2 anybody [6] 970/13 980/25 987/9 990/6 assume [1] 956/18 beforehand [1] 971/16 assumed [2] 956/10 956/21 behalf [1] 949/20 990/6 1006/10 behind [4] 983/6 985/17 987/11 992/21 assumes [1] 986/16 anyone [1] 1010/6 anything [24] 949/2 950/4 954/13 954/17 being [3] 960/24 968/9 992/15 belief [3] 954/10 956/3 1003/8 assuming [1] 963/23 975/2 976/14 978/21 984/17 986/4 987/10 at [39] 949/4 950/11 950/16 951/5 962/25 believability [2] 953/19 956/8 988/6 988/7 989/20 990/7 991/20 999/23 963/3 963/16 964/12 965/1 966/17 967/21 999/25 1000/2 1000/13 1003/20 1004/22 970/19 970/21 970/24 971/23 972/12 976/2 believable [1] 954/20 1009/8 1010/24 1012/3 976/24 977/5 977/5 977/22 977/23 978/17 believe [20] 948/22 951/12 954/5 954/7 979/20 982/11 982/12 985/13 986/11 966/21 968/18 980/15 991/16 991/18 992/7 Anyway [1] 1007/19 anywhere [1] 984/2 apologize [1] 1007/4 988/10 989/13 991/9 998/21 1001/10 995/10 997/4 997/5 998/20 998/23 998/25 1002/6 1002/13 1007/9 1008/24 1011/11 1000/10 1001/9 1002/22 1003/18 appearance [1] 953/21 1012/12 believed [2] 952/15 991/9 **APPEARANCES [1] 947/13** attitude [2] 981/10 990/18 believes [1] 992/10 applicable [1] 1010/12 attorney [1] 998/14 bet [1] 1005/23 better [4] 955/15 968/24 993/2 993/22 applies [1] 950/20 attributable [1] 955/12 apply [8] 950/23 950/25 956/19 956/21 auction [3] 979/3 979/4 979/12 authority [2] 969/14 969/14 betterment [2] 993/23 997/10 between [4] 954/25 957/15 958/25 960/15 956/23 961/12 994/6 1011/8 beyond [3] 982/25 1002/9 1003/8 appraiser [5] 973/19 980/20 980/21 authorizing [1] 957/22 980/22 980/22 Avenue [1] 947/20 bias [1] 954/1 appreciable [1] 999/1 avoid [2] 959/4 960/3 big [3] 985/11 993/21 996/10 approach [1] 949/10 avoided [1] 962/20 bigger [1] 978/4 appropriate [6] 948/23 955/18 955/21 award [11] 958/22 962/5 962/10 966/11 bill [1] 970/6 955/23 965/4 965/8 994/16 994/23 994/24 994/25 998/22 999/2 bills [1] 970/2 birch [2] 999/6 999/7 approved [1] 985/19 999/22 arborist [8] 977/13 980/24 993/6 993/7 away [6] 974/12 974/13 974/14 986/15 blame [1] 976/11 993/8 993/13 994/3 1005/1 996/5 1004/5 board [3] 997/18 1001/6 1003/14 are [64] 948/5 950/1 950/24 951/22 953/1 Bob [2] 981/20 993/15 В 953/6 953/6 953/10 953/16 954/4 954/6 bosses [1] 1007/7 955/2 955/3 955/21 955/25 956/1 956/12 B-2 [1] 970/20 both [11] 948/23 952/6 955/2 955/3 957/5 959/13 961/21 962/10 962/11 963/5 B-E-C-I-C [1] 948/16 955/14 955/18 966/1 972/11 975/15 976/6 965/10 966/18 966/19 967/16 969/17 back [35] 951/3 970/16 970/17 970/19 992/24 972/11 974/23 976/1 976/9 976/13 976/15 970/21 972/22 973/7 976/15 981/6 984/19 bother [1] 990/9 bottom [5] 963/16 963/19 964/12 965/1 976/17 976/17 978/9 980/1 980/4 981/13 986/1 986/4 986/13 989/1 991/14 991/17

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ D\0699/124606/1016066/121/24/08 70 of 957/25 938/8 958/6 958/10 958/24 960/25 canceled [1] 979/4 961/10 962/5 962/14 967/18 989/10 canceling [2] 979/3 979/12 bottom... [1] 965/8 clear [4] 948/18 966/3 968/22 985/20 cancer [2] 993/12 1004/11 cleared [2] 985/17 986/12 bound [1] 955/25 bounds [1] 982/21 Candidly [1] 1002/22 clearly [3] 954/12 963/12 974/10 clerk [1] 1009/21 bramble [1] 948/9 cannot [3] 972/23 1004/17 1010/8 brambles [19] 957/18 958/1 958/8 963/10 Cleveland [1] 948/17 clogged [1] 969/17 caption [1] 963/2 965/16 967/12 970/21 971/3 974/16 980/23 car [5] 1002/1 1002/1 1002/3 1003/6 close [3] 974/18 996/3 1009/8 985/12 987/23 987/24 993/19 998/8 1003/7 1002/11 1002/12 1002/16 1003/11 care [11] 955/19 955/23 959/3 959/4 959/8 closing [4] 950/9 950/15 953/5 966/18 branch [1] 1005/6 959/9 959/9 959/18 960/1 976/7 1001/15 cold [1] 998/13 breaks [1] 1009/16 careful [3] 959/10 959/13 959/15 collect [1] 1009/23 come [18] 949/3 970/6 971/24 973/7 979/6 briefly [1] 1009/18 carefully [1] 984/13 bring [11] 961/15 977/13 977/16 978/20 Carl [1] 986/19 982/1 982/19 986/3 991/21 995/16 997/15 Carol [9] 966/14 966/17 1009/21 1009/22 1000/5 1000/17 1006/5 1006/10 1006/12 980/25 984/5 988/3 993/7 993/12 1005/10 1009/25 1010/2 1010/17 1012/10 1012/19 1010/3 1010/18 1005/11 bringing [1] 1000/14 comes [7] 969/1 970/11 979/1 994/4 carry [1] 973/24 brochures [1] 979/3 carrying [1] 952/18 994/18 1000/24 1003/4 case [46] 948/16 948/17 948/20 948/21 broke [1] 996/21 coming [9] 968/4 985/9 990/3 992/8 broken [1] 970/10 949/16 950/20 951/10 954/17 956/4 956/10 992/24 1004/18 1004/19 1007/12 1007/13 brought [10] 950/11 967/8 977/12 980/20 956/20 956/22 957/13 962/7 963/2 965/11 commencing [1] 1011/12 980/21 980/24 980/25 987/20 989/17 967/7 967/24 967/25 968/13 968/21 971/8 comment [4] 948/12 980/8 990/12 990/18 1000/12 972/6 972/11 974/1 980/11 981/17 982/14 comments [4] 949/7 972/11 995/6 995/9 brown [1] 966/14 983/19 994/8 994/19 995/9 996/21 1003/9 common [1] 1011/3 brush [3] 970/21 993/18 993/18 1005/7 1005/8 1005/18 1005/19 1006/17 company [1] 986/23 buck [1] 998/22 1008/15 1009/17 1009/20 1010/25 1011/2 comparables [3] 988/20 988/20 988/23 bucks [1] 996/12 compensate [3] 961/5 962/6 1005/4 1011/21 1011/22 build [4] 977/25 978/4 989/6 989/7 cases [2] 948/17 968/14 compensation [1] 962/11 built [2] 971/19 997/14 catch [2] 973/2 989/11 complained [1] 960/17 bull [1] 978/4 causal [1] 960/14 complete [1] 966/14 cause [13] 955/9 955/23 958/4 959/20 bulldozer [1] 981/8 completed [1] 1008/3 completing [1] 1010/2 compulsion [2] 961/17 961/19 concerning [3] 953/24 961/20 1011/25 960/8 960/9 960/12 960/22 960/22 960/22 bump [1] 1000/13 bumped [1] 1007/14 960/24 962/8 999/19 bunch [1] 992/15 caused [11] 957/23 958/15 960/18 961/6 conclude [3] 952/20 997/1 1008/15 burden [10] 951/5 951/8 951/8 951/24 964/2 964/19 965/6 965/15 965/22 975/4 955/6 957/10 961/1 962/22 966/23 982/7 1000/2 concludes [1] 957/8 causes [2] 959/6 997/2 conclusion [3] 985/5 991/5 991/6 burden's [1] 967/9 conclusions [2] 952/14 1010/12 condition [2] 957/6 961/22 conduct [13] 949/23 949/23 955/8 955/20 business [2] 954/25 975/9 cell [1] 1009/23 but [64] 949/6 952/24 956/7 958/20 960/8 certain [11] 951/6 953/5 956/16 957/9 961/16 961/18 966/4 966/20 967/24 968/18 957/24 958/10 962/12 967/9 979/24 970/9 971/22 972/1 973/16 973/21 973/23 959/5 960/8 961/7 961/12 962/9 1008/5 1010/11 1011/15 974/15 975/3 975/14 975/16 976/18 977/5 certify [1] 1013/3 1008/17 1008/20 1011/12 conference [3] 948/3 949/19 1012/16 977/7 977/18 978/5 979/12 979/16 979/17 chain [1] 987/1 980/18 981/13 983/7 984/7 984/25 986/16 chambers [1] 949/19 confirm [1] 981/3 conflict [2] 956/1 984/17 conflicts [2] 984/18 984/18 986/20 987/24 988/16 988/22 991/12 change [3] 948/7 950/25 1011/23 991/19 992/1 993/13 993/21 994/4 996/3 changes [2] 948/7 949/5 congenial [1] 1012/1 997/5 997/15 997/21 997/22 998/8 998/25 charge [2] 948/3 988/14 999/4 1000/18 1000/25 1002/2 1002/18 check [2] 963/12 968/12 connection [1] 960/15 1003/24 1004/11 1005/2 1005/23 1007/5 checked [2] 984/7 984/10 consequence [1] 985/14 choose [1] 995/10 1007/7 1007/21 consider [15] 951/20 953/14 953/18 chose [3] 967/24 975/14 1009/11 buy [4] 961/18 970/13 976/3 979/5 954/23 955/25 956/7 957/5 959/18 961/9 buyer [1] 961/18 Christy [6] 990/5 991/15 991/16 993/14 997/20 1005/24 1007/9 1007/23 1010/10 996/20 996/25 1010/25 consideration [2] 955/4 981/14 Cindy [1] 972/18 cakes [1] 997/22 circle [1] 963/11 considering [1] 1010/9 calculations [2] 996/25 1004/15 circumstances [9] 952/25 954/2 959/11 constitute [1] 959/12 constitutional [1] 948/19 constructed [1] 1001/17 call [6] 950/6 969/10 989/18 989/19 959/14 959/16 959/19 959/23 962/17 1009/25 1010/2 1011/2 called [6] 948/2 971/17 971/19 984/15 circumstantial [5] 952/6 952/11 952/12 construction [5] 957/22 959/2 964/10 952/19 953/1 991/23 1006/25 969/20 985/22 calling [2] 991/15 1010/17 citing [1] 948/16 Consult [1] 1011/18 Cambridge [16] 957/16 957/20 957/24 citizenry [1] 1005/8 content [1] 956/5 958/5 961/10 963/10 964/3 964/19 964/24 citizens [2] 975/7 996/17 contents [1] 951/2 974/21 983/16 986/21 987/1 995/21 995/23 continue [1] 963/23 city [78] city's [7] 969/15 971/13 973/19 975/5 continuous [1] 960/10 came [9] 971/10 973/15 973/19 988/20 978/23 979/25 981/17 contractor [4] 985/20 986/12 1005/10 990/25 993/15 998/10 1002/19 1005/4 civil [1] 968/10 1006/3 claim [19] 948/18 948/23 949/22 958/9 camera [2] 992/8 992/12 contractors [1] 979/23 can [22] 949/4 955/5 961/22 967/18 958/11 958/20 958/24 961/3 961/7 964/25 contradicted [1] 954/19 970/22 972/5 973/7 977/11 978/15 978/15 982/25 983/1 983/5 983/20 986/15 987/9 contrary [1] 978/18 982/3 986/8 989/12 999/1 999/8 999/22 987/9 992/2 994/11 control [1] 1009/13 1002/1 1002/3 1002/14 1002/15 1011/20 claimed [2] 990/23 990/24 convince [1] 980/12 claiming [3] 983/12 983/14 992/6 convinced [3] 999/17 999/19 1011/24 1012/19 can't [7] 970/10 971/22 977/14 992/18 claims [15] 948/14 951/6 957/13 957/21 Copox [2] 986/20 986/22

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ Doga #ages 739 1988/9 949/22955/9 955/240 965/5 969/6 969/17 969/22 970/23 971/11 958/16 958/18 958/19 958/21 958/22 959/5 971/24 973/25 977/13 981/4 983/7 984/5 correct [1] 1013/3 961/1 961/2 961/9 961/21 962/3 962/5 984/6 984/7 984/11 984/20 984/22 985/2 962/10 962/15 962/18 962/19 965/15 988/19 988/22 989/24 990/15 995/4 997/20 corrective [1] 970/13 cosmetic [1] 948/6 965/17 965/21 976/13 976/13 976/15 977/8 998/6 1002/8 1003/12 1004/8 1005/3 979/8 979/10 982/25 983/12 983/14 983/20 1005/15 1005/17 1006/18 1007/5 cost [8] 961/23 973/12 975/11 977/3 978/10 979/2 981/2 1005/2 994/23 994/24 994/25 999/11 999/18 didn't [54] 968/17 969/25 970/1 970/4 costs [2] 961/24 994/15 1004/25 970/15 970/16 971/8 971/13 972/18 972/20 could [24] 952/19 960/23 962/19 973/4 Daniels [1] 948/21 974/21 975/2 978/20 978/21 979/14 979/15 978/20 978/24 981/7 983/8 985/14 986/3 darn [1] 981/11 980/4 980/24 981/1 981/3 982/18 983/6 991/8 993/14 996/7 997/1 997/3 997/14 date [2] 971/2 1013/8 984/11 984/16 985/3 986/24 987/18 987/19 987/22 989/23 990/8 990/21 990/21 992/9 998/16 1004/1 1004/2 1004/3 1005/20 dated [1] 1003/20 1006/11 1006/11 1008/14 David [1] 974/3 993/6 993/12 995/19 996/15 997/7 998/11 couldn't [2] 974/12 996/24 day [8] 968/5 970/17 970/17 973/6 998/12 998/15 1000/5 1002/25 1003/2 counsel [22] 948/2 948/4 948/6 948/24 1004/17 1006/16 1007/10 1007/11 1003/10 1003/21 1004/5 1004/6 1004/22 dead [2] 983/4 986/16 949/2 950/7 952/4 953/3 953/6 956/15 1005/10 1005/11 1006/5 1007/3 966/19 972/4 972/11 980/8 981/22 982/11 deal [1] 1007/3 dieing [2] 986/16 993/11 decide [5] 950/21 982/14 994/14 1011/8 982/18 989/10 995/9 995/11 1012/3 differ [1] 954/22 1012/18 1011/21 difference [3] 961/25 962/2 999/23 counting [1] 956/6 decided [1] 1010/21 different [6] 969/18 974/7 978/9 989/2 decision [7] 958/3 969/7 993/9 1006/8 989/4 990/7 country [1] 968/6 County [4] 969/12 975/8 975/16 996/18 1006/19 1008/25 1010/22 dig [3] 975/11 986/3 986/4 couple [4] 979/15 994/7 1002/20 1008/6 decorative [2] 993/18 993/19 digging [1] 996/7 dilapidated [1] 991/7 course [4] 956/15 1006/3 1009/15 deep [1] 973/2 1010/24 deeper [2] 975/12 1005/22 diligence [1] 962/20 defendant [23] 947/8 947/17 949/20 955/2 diminished [2] 961/11 961/13 955/8 955/17 955/19 957/14 957/21 957/25 diminishing [1] 957/20 court [26] 947/1 947/20 948/1 948/3 948/13 948/21 949/3 949/11 950/20 952/4 958/3 958/10 958/13 958/24 962/14 962/15 diminishment [1] 977/3 953/9 953/12 967/17 967/19 967/20 967/23 dire [1] 983/17 967/25 968/18 979/22 981/21 998/15 963/9 964/9 964/23 965/12 966/7 966/9 direct [5] 952/6 952/7 952/13 952/16 1000/24 1006/10 1008/23 1010/5 1010/8 1012/6 defendant's [10] 948/13 955/20 957/16 Court's [1] 1008/21 953/1 courtroom [4] 952/17 954/22 1010/3 960/18 961/7 961/11 962/8 965/15 965/22 directed [2] 949/15 979/23 1010/23 970/20 direction [1] 992/17 defense [4] 949/24 969/9 980/19 1001/6 cover [2] 998/6 1003/12 directions [1] 992/25 covered [5] 952/18 970/18 981/5 981/6 defenses [3] 949/21 980/5 1007/1 directly [1] 960/10 1009/8 define [1] 959/7 dirt [3] 987/20 992/14 992/15 covers [1] 998/7 disadvantage [1] 967/21 degree [1] 959/9 deliberate [3] 980/1 1011/19 1012/12 create [3] 992/14 997/7 1006/22 disbelieve [2] 954/8 995/10 disclose [1] 1010/6 discount [2] 973/24 977/5 created [1] 996/5 deliberation [1] 951/4 creating [1] 998/6 deliberations [15] 963/6 981/14 1005/13 1006/14 1007/8 1008/6 1008/10 1008/17 credibility [5] 953/16 953/18 954/23 956/7 discriminate [1] 954/25 1008/20 1009/9 1009/15 1009/23 1010/7 discuss [2] 1009/16 1009/20 957/11 credible [1] 997/24 1010/14 1011/13 discussed [2] 949/5 981/25 credit [1] 1007/6 deliver [1] 1010/17 discussion [1] 1011/22 creeks [1] 978/25 denying [1] 973/10 dismiss [1] 949/1 dismissing [1] 948/22 dispute [3] 956/19 977/6 982/23 cried [1] 1007/3 department [1] 969/4 criminal [1] 1003/23 depend [1] 1010/12 crossover [5] 996/23 997/1 997/2 997/5 depending [2] 965/18 965/24 disputed [3] 950/22 1010/20 1011/8 998/3 depicted [1] 989/16 disregard [4] 953/10 980/8 1011/1 1011/6 CRR [2] 947/20 1013/8 deposition [9] 954/21 971/21 971/23 DISTRICT [3] 947/1 947/1 947/11 crystal [1] 968/21 CSX [2] 975/9 978/12 990/12 990/15 990/16 1002/21 1002/22 disturbing [1] 1011/20 1002/23 ditch [3] 969/2 996/6 1001/21 cubic [2] 978/2 978/2 depositions [2] 952/2 1003/1 diversion [1] 965/22 culvert [2] 989/18 989/18 deputy [1] 1009/21 divided [1] 978/2 cure [2] 973/11 977/11 describe [1] 984/6 **DIVISION** [1] 947/2 cured [1] 1004/1 described [1] 984/13 do [49] 949/8 956/22 956/23 959/13 cut [17] 969/17 974/11 976/24 979/24 designed [4] 953/6 971/18 996/19 959/14 959/15 961/17 961/19 963/18 964/12 966/1 973/9 973/9 973/11 973/13 980/24 986/14 986/17 986/23 986/25 987/4 1001/15 987/4 988/7 992/1 994/21 999/21 1003/5 desired [2] 961/16 961/18 975/14 977/12 978/8 978/11 978/17 978/21 1003/8 desperate [2] 1003/9 1003/9 979/24 980/4 982/11 984/14 984/24 987/3 cuts [1] 970/11 despite [1] 988/12 989/8 990/21 992/12 993/3 994/23 996/9 cutting [8] 974/14 977/10 986/22 987/1 determination [1] 1011/14 997/14 999/25 1000/21 1004/12 1004/12 997/7 998/3 999/19 1000/2 1004/13 1004/13 1004/14 1004/14 1004/15 determine [11] 951/23 954/9 955/17 955/22 956/2 956/12 956/22 956/23 957/7 1004/22 1005/15 1011/20 1011/22 1011/23 D 961/4 986/9 1012/13 daily [1] 953/20 determining [3] 951/19 956/4 959/17 Docket [1] 947/3 dam [4] 992/14 992/19 998/6 998/7 developer [1] 986/12 doctor [3] 971/7 1004/10 1004/10 document [3] 962/25 985/23 986/8 damage [30] 958/15 959/6 959/21 959/22 development [3] 947/3 951/9 985/17 959/24 960/2 960/6 960/9 960/11 960/12 deviation [2] 955/20 955/22 documentation [1] 1005/17 960/16 960/17 960/20 960/24 961/6 961/12 device [1] 990/20 documenting [1] 992/12 962/1 962/6 964/2 964/19 965/7 967/11 dodging [1] 976/10 diagrams [1] 992/13 does [11] 953/2 955/24 956/20 968/11 967/14 968/17 976/18 977/9 994/11 994/12 diameter [1] 989/21 998/22 999/3 did [44] 948/6 954/15 954/16 958/4 960/2 971/25 974/7 982/7 999/24 1008/10 damaged [2] 974/2 976/5 962/16 963/8 963/25 964/8 964/16 964/22 1008/11 1011/4

elements [1] 967/7

1006/11 exist [1] 951/6 doesn't [12] 976/7 986/15 989/5 989/19 elsewhere [1] 988/21 existed [2] 972/19 972/20 embody [1] 1010/8 989/20 991/16 991/18 991/19 1002/2 existence [1] 1009/13 eminent [2] 968/13 968/14 1003/23 1004/12 1006/6 expect [1] 1011/4 doing [7] 957/23 959/12 972/22 986/20 emotion [2] 982/14 1011/4 expense [2] 978/5 979/14 expenses [1] 979/12 991/11 994/9 1006/25 emotional [1] 1007/5 dollar [5] 966/2 966/10 977/25 979/14 employee [3] 955/8 955/10 971/14 experience [1] 955/14 expert [12] 955/13 956/1 956/8 956/16 979/16 employees [4] 955/6 957/16 1005/9 dollars [4] 975/13 979/12 979/17 1005/23 1005/10 956/17 971/8 971/10 971/13 978/23 988/4 domain [2] 968/13 968/14 employers [1] 996/22 988/9 988/12 Dominee [1] 973/19 enable [1] 955/16 expert's [1] 956/13 experts [3] 955/18 972/13 989/14 expired [1] 1007/21 explain [1] 1000/6 Domini [6] 977/4 979/20 993/15 993/16 encampment [1] 975/22 encroach [2] 985/2 1003/10 encroached [3] 974/15 986/15 987/9 999/6 1005/9 don't [35] 950/1 966/5 967/19 969/3 encroachment [3] 985/8 985/9 985/13 explained [1] 1003/21 969/23 972/13 975/18 976/10 978/24 982/16 983/16 983/19 984/4 986/7 987/9 encroachments [1] 984/23 exposed [1] 981/6 988/21 988/22 992/1 994/3 994/15 994/16 end [1] 966/17 exposing [1] 957/19 997/4 997/4 997/5 998/23 998/24 999/6 engineer [5] 971/17 975/16 975/17 978/20 express [2] 1008/19 1011/14 expressed [1] 1011/15 extensive [2] 1009/3 1009/7 999/12 1002/14 1002/22 1002/25 1003/24 980/25 1003/25 1004/20 1006/8 engineer's [1] 969/12 done [10] 973/8 978/20 984/25 988/6 engineering [1] 969/4 extra [2] 975/2 978/5 engineers [2] 1004/15 1005/11 eyes [1] 955/2 996/25 997/3 998/20 999/13 1000/3 1010/24 enhance [1] 993/20 door [1] 968/16 enough [1] 980/11 entered [2] 958/13 964/23 entitled [6] 955/3 957/2 958/19 962/4 face [2] 976/10 998/16 fact [20] 952/10 952/21 956/2 956/25 doubt [2] 996/12 1010/14 down [23] 963/1 972/15 972/16 972/21 991/20 1013/4 985/1 985/16 985/18 985/21 986/13 990/9 979/13 980/24 983/14 983/16 983/22 985/9 985/9 985/10 986/14 986/17 986/22 986/23 entity [1] 955/1 991/3 991/9 991/23 994/16 996/4 997/24 992/9 992/10 992/24 994/10 999/2 1000/16 envelope [2] 966/15 966/17 998/4 999/20 1010/20 1011/8 equal [2] 953/2 966/3 facts [23] 950/22 951/6 951/10 952/3 1005/22 dozens [1] 979/6 equally [1] 951/22 952/4 952/8 952/12 952/14 952/21 952/22 dozer [1] 978/5 equals [2] 971/1 971/2 952/25 953/5 953/16 956/10 956/12 956/14 drain [4] 957/22 1001/12 1001/13 1005/21 956/18 956/21 956/24 961/20 962/17 equipment [1] 996/7 drainage [9] 958/4 959/2 964/11 967/14 escort [1] 1010/3 1010/13 1010/22 969/1 985/19 985/21 985/25 998/9 establish [5] 955/7 956/11 958/11 960/14 failed [2] 958/21 962/14 failing [2] 959/14 962/18 960/16 draining [1] 972/21 drains [1] 972/14 established [2] 951/24 956/13 fails [1] 958/18 failure [7] 959/3 959/8 959/20 959/25 draw [1] 952/24 establishing [1] 961/1 drawing [2] 971/11 972/12 estimate [2] 977/17 978/18 960/4 960/9 960/13 estimates [3] 978/19 991/22 999/5 drawings [2] 970/23 985/23 fair [5] 955/3 961/13 961/14 961/25 drawn [1] 985/24 evaluating [1] 954/11 1011/11 even [28] 958/18 969/7 969/16 972/18 fall [1] 1000/10 Drive [1] 947/15 973/4 974/21 982/2 983/15 983/19 983/20 far [3] 982/25 995/25 996/13 driveway [1] 975/25 fast [2] 979/1 999/8 fault [3] 988/16 988/17 992/20 drops [1] 952/18 dug [1] 969/7 983/21 987/5 987/9 987/12 988/6 992/7 993/17 994/4 994/5 994/7 995/19 995/25 duly [1] 966/8 998/12 999/21 1004/6 1005/24 1006/3 favor [5] 958/20 966/9 991/12 998/24 dumping [1] 992/15 1000/4 during [9] 952/3 952/9 953/3 967/3 1009/9 evening [2] 948/3 982/8 Fayette [1] 1005/1 1009/15 1009/23 1010/13 1010/23 events [2] 954/12 954/15 federal [4] 967/16 967/20 967/21 967/24 ever [9] 970/19 973/4 993/22 993/24 994/1 feed [1] 993/3 duties [1] 955/12 duty [11] 950/19 950/23 951/8 954/9 968/8 1000/6 1002/23 1006/12 1006/13 feel [1] 1007/4 feelings [2] 982/15 982/16 977/12 986/4 999/10 1008/22 1011/7 every [8] 959/3 974/7 983/22 994/19 995/17 1005/8 1007/10 1007/11 feet [19] 971/2 974/12 974/13 974/13 1011/11 everybody [7] 975/12 981/7 983/7 987/12 974/15 974/16 974/17 975/12 978/1 978/1 Ε 992/16 1000/24 1001/21 978/1 978/2 978/2 986/2 1001/18 1002/15 e-mails [1] 975/18 everything [3] 986/4 994/14 1001/14 1005/2 1005/3 1005/22 each [11] 950/8 953/21 954/3 960/25 evidence [87] felt [2] 980/10 981/8 961/7 961/19 964/20 966/12 995/7 1008/18 exactly [2] 959/22 990/24 fence [4] 976/25 977/1 984/12 984/13 example [2] 952/14 955/16 1011/21 fences [1] 975/23 earlier [3] 961/3 987/5 1008/3 excavating [1] 1005/21 fenders [1] 1002/2 earth [1] 998/17 exceed [1] 961/24 few [5] 975/1 979/12 997/22 1009/4 except [2] 992/6 1001/22 earthen [2] 998/6 998/7 1009/7 easement [2] 986/1 986/2 exception [1] 961/2 figure [6] 979/15 979/16 982/3 985/1 easements [1] 986/7 exclusive [1] 989/4 985/3 991/8 eavesdrops [1] 1001/14 exclusively [1] 975/8 figured [1] 990/9 economic [1] 961/9 excuse [4] 969/24 971/16 984/22 1003/4 filed [2] 949/19 985/19 effect [1] 956/23 filling [2] 965/19 996/6 excused [1] 1012/12 final [1] 982/5 efforts [2] 962/16 982/22 excuses [6] 969/11 980/11 980/19 eight [2] 979/19 983/13 either [6] 954/17 959/12 967/4 993/9 1002/19 1006/22 1006/25 finally [2] 985/5 1007/5 financial [2] 1006/23 1007/23 exercise [1] 962/20 998/12 1012/3 exhibit [8] 968/23 968/24 970/20 973/1 financially [2] 975/20 976/6 element [4] 977/8 979/9 979/9 979/10 976/22 984/22 1002/6 1002/13 find [10] 950/13 958/19 958/20 961/21 962/3 962/6 966/9 975/25 981/15 1004/15

exhibits [9] 952/2 952/9 957/3 957/5 957/7

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ Dukis#[5]4984/2989/20990/24004914720

9001/8 100 910 10 10 12/14 10 12/18

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ Dogers:[4]4966/231972/201982449826 73 0 1002/11003/18 1004/5 1007/13 getting [3] 981/2 982/12 986/11 hasn't [2] 991/14 991/19 give [14] 950/22 957/12 966/14 969/10 findings [1] 1011/9 have [130] Findlay [2] 971/10 1007/20 973/4 975/13 976/15 978/16 982/8 984/18 haven't [2] 973/8 988/16 Finkbeiner [1] 980/3 1006/22 1007/6 1007/21 1011/16 having [3] 961/19 970/10 1011/15 first [14] 950/14 965/20 966/23 968/7 given [8] 950/8 950/24 952/7 954/22 he [78] 968/22 969/11 969/22 976/20 977/8 984/4 he'd [3] 977/7 998/16 1003/15 956/24 957/1 968/6 1005/16 1001/6 1001/11 1002/20 1008/8 gives [2] 968/18 981/16 he's [10] 971/6 971/24 982/20 986/20 five [7] 970/1 971/18 974/13 974/15 giving [2] 1006/25 1008/18 974/16 977/18 977/21 go [33] 951/3 951/4 963/7 963/18 963/24 fix [7] 994/5 994/6 994/12 994/13 994/14 968/9 969/3 969/6 970/15 972/21 976/21 997/5 997/6 978/6 981/14 984/11 984/14 988/25 989/2 fixed [1] 973/7 989/3 989/4 992/9 993/5 995/18 996/8 fixes [1] 994/16 997/19 1001/7 1003/22 1004/5 1004/10 1005/13 1006/10 1006/14 1007/13 1008/8 flaring [1] 990/19 flood [2] 1006/11 1006/12 goes [4] 972/16 972/16 975/16 996/18 1008/4 flooded [1] 971/16 going [58] 950/13 950/17 962/24 969/9 flooding [10] 971/20 972/1 976/6 991/24 969/10 969/11 969/16 970/6 970/8 970/13 992/3 992/4 992/5 997/2 1001/9 1007/20 970/14 970/15 972/22 973/8 973/11 973/11 hell [1] 980/3 floor [1] 966/24 flow [1] 998/9 973/12 976/3 976/3 977/16 978/5 978/16 979/4 979/7 980/4 980/7 984/12 986/6 focus [3] 980/14 987/8 1003/17 988/13 988/14 988/15 989/1 989/2 989/3 focused [1] 995/6 989/4 989/6 989/7 989/8 989/11 989/21 foliage [1] 975/23 992/9 992/17 992/23 993/2 993/3 993/4 folks [1] 980/12 993/4 997/9 997/16 997/22 998/18 999/16 1010/17 follow [3] 950/17 982/21 1012/10 1000/15 1001/7 1004/4 1005/13 1006/15 following [1] 1009/2 1006/16 foot [3] 968/16 971/1 977/18 gone [3] 995/25 1004/2 1004/3 good [6] 950/12 954/13 967/1 970/24 foregoing [1] 1013/3 foreperson [6] 1008/9 1008/10 1008/16 981/21 982/19 1008/19 1008/22 1010/15 got [16] 968/7 971/12 972/21 978/3 978/4 foreseeability [1] 959/21 985/19 991/15 992/11 992/13 997/17 999/4 foreseeable [1] 960/13 999/11 999/18 1001/20 1002/24 1006/3 government [8] 955/1 967/17 968/11 foreseen [4] 959/18 959/22 960/2 960/23 968/12 968/19 980/10 1005/7 1006/21 forget [1] 986/18 form [11] 948/8 955/15 963/13 965/4 governmental [1] 949/16 965/9 965/11 965/12 965/14 966/7 966/11 grade [1] 985/20 here's [1] 984/12 1008/22 GRANITE [3] 947/3 951/9 977/2 forms [2] 962/23 965/10 granted [1] 948/13 hey [1] 983/6 formula [1] 973/23 great [1] 984/17 forth [2] 979/4 1002/3 greater [7] 951/11 951/15 951/18 956/5 high [1] 978/1 found [5] 950/16 954/20 987/4 998/24 958/11 958/21 1008/10 1002/7 Grinage [1] 948/20 four [2] 983/13 987/8 gross [1] 999/8 hill [1] 972/16 fourths [1] 967/23 ground [1] 1002/10 grow [1] 1005/5 guess [4] 953/11 968/22 971/22 975/13 frankly [2] 982/16 986/18 frankness [1] 953/25 free [1] 1011/4 guides [1] 954/11 front [6] 968/16 968/23 975/24 983/2 guilty [1] 1003/25 guy [6] 969/21 971/6 984/21 991/23 983/11 1003/7 993/15 997/13 frosted [1] 990/1 1008/11 full [3] 969/17 969/22 992/25 Н function [4] 982/13 991/8 991/9 1008/8 hit [1] 990/17 functioned [1] 1002/5 had [35] 953/23 958/2 964/6 968/15 functions [1] 950/21 969/13 969/19 969/20 969/21 971/13 holes [1] 1002/1 further [5] 949/2 950/4 963/17 986/14 973/16 973/24 977/5 977/10 980/10 981/8 Holland [1] 947/18 1012/3 983/2 983/5 985/18 987/20 990/16 990/19 future [1] 962/13 991/22 993/8 993/24 993/24 994/1 995/17 999/20 1001/6 1001/20 1002/12 1003/12 1003/20 1003/20 1012/16 G-R-I-N-A-G-E [1] 948/20 half [3] 970/5 970/5 997/20 happen [7] 970/14 971/9 972/23 975/18 gain [1] 954/17

garbage [2] 969/20 969/21 gather [1] 1012/18 gave [1] 993/13 general [1] 957/9 generally [3] 992/16 992/17 1003/25 gentleman [2] 971/5 1007/14 gentlemen [11] 950/12 963/6 981/22 984/3 995/5 995/8 997/23 1000/20 1008/2 1010/19 1012/9 get [12] 970/2 974/18 978/12 978/13 982/11 985/10 994/23 996/2 1002/3 1003/1 1003/22 1008/14

979/7 990/22 1006/19 happened [5] 959/22 967/10 973/18 1006/24 1007/2 happens [1] 996/22 harm [3] 975/3 1006/23 1007/24 harmed [1] 979/21 harmony [1] 1010/10 has [32] 948/2 951/19 951/23 951/24 951/24 952/7 955/14 958/6 962/7 966/21 966/22 972/14 972/23 974/7 976/5 976/22 977/6 979/21 980/10 982/7 982/16 988/4 990/23 991/3 995/22 998/3 998/21 999/13

990/23 991/15 991/24 991/25 992/7 994/22 head [3] 990/17 1004/21 1006/22 hear [4] 953/23 954/12 971/22 987/14 heard [23] 952/8 953/11 969/18 970/17 971/17 974/25 978/23 979/2 980/18 980/19 984/21 986/18 989/14 990/4 990/10 990/11 991/4 991/15 991/16 996/3 996/20 1007/19 hearing [2] 971/25 993/10 held [2] 948/3 955/19 help [3] 951/3 997/10 998/12 helping [1] 1008/17 her [15] 949/4 953/22 953/25 955/12 955/15 968/16 968/17 976/11 991/15 1000/9 1006/7 1007/6 1007/7 1008/11 here [59] 967/16 968/8 968/25 969/3 970/22 972/21 972/22 973/10 973/20 974/15 975/25 976/9 977/5 978/20 979/9 979/9 979/13 979/21 980/1 980/2 982/1 982/24 983/13 983/18 983/19 985/19 985/23 990/14 990/15 990/16 990/19 992/ 992/6 992/14 992/15 992/18 992/19 993/1 993/12 993/14 994/10 994/10 994/19 995/4 1000/5 1000/8 1000/9 1000/9 1000/10 1000/17 1001/11 1001/20 1005/14 1005/15 1006/5 1006/25 1007/12 1007/13 1007/15 Herrett [3] 977/13 977/14 1002/11 hesitate [2] 1011/16 1011/23 higher [2] 994/5 1005/4 highway [1] 968/14 him [9] 952/16 955/14 972/1 974/6 990/4 990/17 993/12 998/13 1004/19 his [21] 953/21 953/24 955/11 969/13 970/10 970/11 971/21 971/23 973/23 977/17 986/22 990/3 990/18 991/23 992/15 993/9 993/11 994/18 998/16 1003/15 historically [1] 983/9 hole [3] 992/25 998/11 998/17 home [2] 977/3 979/10 homes [2] 988/24 1007/11 honor [15] 950/5 966/25 968/5 972/3 974/4 980/6 980/9 984/18 986/6 995/2 995/13 1001/5 1008/13 1012/5 1012/7 HONORABLE [1] 947/10 hope [8] 966/3 981/14 981/25 982/12 984/10 988/22 997/12 1007/8 hopefully [2] 962/25 1007/25 hospice [1] 974/24 hot [1] 997/22 house [14] 968/17 973/17 973/21 973/22 973/23 976/4 976/19 976/23 977/6 983/21 987/19 989/6 989/7 1006/12 houses [2] 974/23 987/13 how [21] 954/13 954/15 965/18 965/24 967/7 974/3 975/11 978/8 985/11 985/11 987/3 989/15 996/11 999/23 999/23 999/24

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ Dunstist 14420行)12d: 11/24/08 74 01 jumped 141914002/担 3478 installed [1] 996/3 juror [7] 968/8 968/9 1008/11 1009/7 how... [5] 1002/8 1004/15 1007/3 1008/5 Instead [1] 988/11 1009/10 1009/11 1010/6 instruct [3] 948/5 950/20 1008/5 1010/20 jurors [12] 955/16 956/11 963/20 964/4 Howard [1] 948/20 instructed [7] 953/10 972/5 972/10 977/1 964/13 965/2 967/22 967/24 1011/5 however [8] 948/17 955/24 961/24 962/21 1008/3 1008/24 1011/1 1011/11 1011/23 1012/2 instructing [3] 959/1 964/10 999/10 1009/16 1011/5 1011/22 1011/24 jury [38] 947/7 947/10 948/2 948/4 948/5 Huber [10] 969/11 978/10 989/16 989/23 instruction [3] 961/3 965/8 968/7 948/7 948/25 949/1 950/2 950/6 950/11 instructions [23] 948/4 948/7 948/25 989/25 990/1 990/14 990/20 990/23 950/15 950/19 950/21 951/3 966/8 966/13 1002/21 949/5 949/7 950/2 950/15 950/22 950/23 968/17 972/5 972/10 976/11 980/8 980/11 Huber's [1] 1002/23 957/9 957/12 963/17 964/12 965/1 979/23 986/8 995/7 997/19 1000/20 1001/7 huge [4] 975/5 985/23 987/23 996/9 1002/17 1008/8 1008/16 1009/17 1009/19 983/24 995/16 1008/21 1010/9 1010/11 human [1] 1011/3 1010/11 1010/15 1011/9 1009/22 1009/25 1011/18 1012/10 1012/12 insufficient [2] 997/24 998/2 just [29] 963/12 969/6 970/14 971/13 hundreds [1] 979/6 insult [1] 1000/14 hung [1] 974/17 972/13 973/17 974/19 975/14 976/7 977/22 hurt [3] 975/19 996/15 1003/6 insuring [1] 1008/20 978/13 979/7 981/25 984/11 984/12 985/3 hypotheticals [1] 956/17 intelligence [1] 954/1 987/25 988/11 989/8 989/21 992/25 995/21 intended [1] 973/9 997/9 1000/12 1001/2 1005/12 1005/21 intent [1] 988/24 1007/23 1011/12 I'd [3] 982/2 982/4 998/18 intentionally [1] 1003/6 justice [4] 1000/25 1000/25 1001/1 1001/2 I'II [7] 950/16 963/3 980/8 981/15 992/25 interest [3] 954/1 979/13 988/14 K interesting [3] 968/4 973/14 985/6 995/8 1007/21 keep [8] 980/14 982/4 992/13 994/20 I'm [23] 950/13 950/17 960/21 962/24 interestingly [2] 971/3 972/18 967/4 968/24 971/8 974/8 975/16 980/7 interfered [1] 954/14 995/5 999/4 1000/25 1003/17 981/1 982/2 982/4 982/20 986/6 988/13 interrogatories [7] 962/24 963/5 963/15 keeps [1] 968/12 Keesey [1] 977/10 Keith [1] 949/6 988/13 988/15 990/15 993/2 1000/3 963/17 965/19 965/25 1010/2 interrogatory [17] 963/3 963/7 963/15 1000/16 1008/13 l've [4] 949/19 972/4 972/10 1002/24 963/20 963/21 963/22 964/2 964/5 964/6 key [1] 967/7 idea [2] 950/25 996/9 964/8 964/14 964/14 964/15 964/16 964/18 kind [4] 981/7 981/10 982/8 990/1 identical [1] 951/17 965/2 965/3 kinds [1] 1006/22 into [18] 952/9 952/17 969/3 969/19 identify [1] 1002/12 knee [1] 1004/9 if [96] 972/17 972/20 978/25 981/14 981/14 knee-jerk [1] 1004/9 ignore [1] 985/3 989/11 993/5 1000/24 1001/7 1001/13 knew [1] 976/9 Knight [1] 974/25 ignored [3] 975/3 975/15 975/19 1001/14 1001/20 1005/13 1006/14 imagine [1] 1002/14 introduced [1] 957/4 knock [1] 992/25 immediately [2] 962/1 1011/14 involve [1] 962/22 knocked [1] 983/22 involved [7] 956/19 994/10 1000/7 1000/7 immunity [1] 949/16 knocking [1] 998/11 impacted [2] 982/15 998/1 1000/11 1006/7 1006/18 know [59] 950/16 953/24 963/4 966/5 impacting [1] 999/25 is [210] 968/5 969/23 972/16 972/19 972/20 974/24 impaneled [1] 966/8 isn't [3] 993/3 998/14 999/15 975/1 976/3 978/5 978/15 978/25 979/6 impartial [1] 955/3 issue [12] 949/16 951/19 951/23 951/25 979/11 979/14 981/5 981/11 983/6 983/8 impenetrable [1] 993/25 976/13 984/4 988/3 992/4 996/23 997/2 983/15 984/4 984/12 985/10 985/16 985/18 importance [2] 1008/12 1011/13 986/11 986/16 987/3 987/10 987/11 987/25 997/12 999/17 important [3] 968/10 977/14 1000/20 988/8 988/10 989/7 989/15 989/23 990/18 issued [1] 949/24 impression [2] 1010/19 1010/21 improper [1] 990/11 issues [3] 957/13 984/3 1000/5 991/21 991/22 992/20 994/18 997/13 998/12 998/13 998/15 999/7 999/7 999/20 it [218] improve [1] 993/22 it's [54] 964/18 966/3 968/4 968/13 969/13 1000/18 1002/2 1004/19 1005/23 1007/3 in [219] 969/15 971/11 971/12 972/21 972/21 1007/6 1007/12 1007/12 inch [9] 967/14 971/1 971/1 973/3 985/12 972/22 972/22 973/1 973/12 974/24 975/7 knowledge [2] 961/20 984/8 known [2] 951/8 956/16 989/18 989/21 1001/24 1005/21 975/9 977/4 978/1 978/6 979/14 982/6 inches [4] 985/7 985/9 985/11 985/11 982/9 984/5 986/2 987/22 988/16 988/17 knows [5] 998/15 999/15 1000/15 1001/22 include [4] 953/2 953/21 954/11 962/11 989/12 989/13 989/18 991/1 991/1 991/5 1009/21 included [1] 953/14 991/6 991/7 992/8 992/9 993/4 995/19 includes [2] 952/9 1010/2 996/16 997/4 997/16 999/7 1000/5 1000/21 including [7] 952/2 957/3 957/10 998/6 Labinski [1] 968/15 1000/25 1000/25 1001/18 1001/19 1001/21 999/6 1010/6 1010/16 1001/25 1004/19 1006/25 Labor [1] 1006/16 incorporate [1] 949/14 item [4] 978/6 978/6 978/6 978/7 lack [1] 953/25 incorrect [1] 1011/17 indicate [3] 949/20 956/20 963/12 its [16] 951/10 955/5 959/5 960/21 961/1 ladies [10] 950/12 963/5 981/22 984/3 995/8 997/23 1000/20 1008/2 1010/19 961/3 961/22 962/4 962/14 974/9 974/22 975/19 977/7 996/14 996/14 1006/21 indicated [3] 961/3 979/22 1010/20 1012/9 indication [1] 1010/25 itself [2] 977/9 999/6 land [1] 969/14 individual [3] 968/18 1009/12 1011/20 landowners [1] 991/12 industry [1] 984/24 largest [1] 996/22 infer [2] 952/13 952/21 JACK [1] 947/10 Laskey [9] 969/24 971/21 971/22 979/2 Jenkins [6] 971/17 977/24 978/23 998/10 inference [4] 952/11 952/23 952/24 986/14 988/8 992/6 1004/5 1007/24 952/24 998/12 1005/9 last [11] 948/3 962/25 965/10 966/7 influence [2] 954/18 1011/7 jerk [1] 1004/9 966/23 968/15 973/6 982/6 982/9 1004/24 job [4] 967/6 969/13 969/15 1000/22 influenced [1] 968/2 1008/4 influences [1] 967/19 John [1] 975/17 lastly [1] 976/5 information [4] 989/22 990/8 990/25 judge [11] 947/11 968/6 977/11 980/17 law [15] 948/14 950/20 950/22 950/24 993/13 982/5 983/25 995/21 995/22 998/21 999/10 950/25 951/1 955/2 957/12 982/21 983/23 infraction [1] 990/1 1008/3 1010/8 1011/4 1011/5 1011/9 1012/13 initial [1] 1011/12 judges [1] 953/16 law's [1] 982/8 injuring [1] 959/4 judgment [3] 948/14 948/22 1011/20 laws [1] 967/21

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ D0664/162970/8949706 973/4297908 979/20 members 35 950/49 4608/46 1009/17 974/23 977/21 979/19 983/10 983/12 Memorial [1] 968/4 lawsuit [1] 1006/12 983/13 983/20 987/8 987/16 987/21 988/5 memory [4] 953/25 954/13 1009/10 lawyer [1] 1001/6 992/5 994/7 994/10 994/10 997/20 997/21 1009/11 lawyers [3] 966/20 1004/14 1008/4 999/12 999/14 999/16 999/25 mere [1] 956/6 layman [1] 955/15 mic [2] 967/5 967/5 loves [1] 994/18 might [12] 948/15 953/13 954/18 956/19 least [2] 988/10 998/22 low [2] 992/17 992/24 leave [2] 986/24 1009/22 lowest [1] 972/15 956/19 982/16 986/13 986/19 989/19 LTD [1] 947/4 991/10 991/11 1002/17 leaves [4] 993/19 1002/14 1002/15 1009/19 luck [1] 983/22 mile [1] 972/15 left [2] 987/7 992/18 million [5] 975/3 975/10 979/18 996/12 legal [1] 983/16 996/12 mind [8] 951/13 982/4 982/10 992/13 legally [4] 994/23 995/14 995/15 995/16 mad [2] 982/11 982/12 length [1] 989/22 made [16] 948/7 948/17 949/4 949/14 994/20 999/4 1001/1 1009/9 less [3] 975/14 980/22 1009/11 minor [1] 979/13 949/16 953/3 974/9 987/25 996/11 996/12 minute [1] 989/10 lessen [1] 962/17 996/25 998/5 1001/10 1004/9 1007/6 let [6] 948/1 975/18 975/25 978/16 982/14 1010/22 minutes [2] 950/8 950/9 993/9 mails [1] 975/18 missed [1] 1002/9 let's [10] 950/6 963/23 965/5 982/23 984/3 main [3] 996/16 996/18 996/21 mistake [3] 974/9 1001/10 1007/6 984/12 989/9 995/5 995/15 1003/17 mitigate [2] 962/14 999/11 major [1] 992/14 make [17] 948/6 948/25 952/23 962/16 modifications [1] 998/5 Lets [1] 980/14 Letterman [1] 974/3 967/18 969/6 972/7 976/14 988/13 993/2 moment [1] 963/24 levels [1] 1006/6 993/4 993/5 998/18 1000/19 1001/20 money [5] 961/5 973/12 975/9 1001/1 1004/6 liability [1] 979/25 1007/24 1009/21 liable [2] 959/5 999/18 makes [3] 955/14 993/2 1004/6 month [1] 1006/16 license [1] 1003/15 licensed [2] 980/25 984/9 making [3] 975/5 975/9 995/24 monuments [2] 1002/7 1002/8 more [19] 951/14 951/15 952/24 955/8 malpractice [1] 971/8 licensing [1] 1003/14 Man [6] 957/22 959/2 964/10 969/20 956/2 960/17 962/4 980/23 985/4 988/24 life [3] 991/23 1007/10 1007/11 991/20 993/17 993/24 993/25 994/10 996/5 969/21 990/6 light [3] 956/24 957/8 1010/10 management [2] 1006/7 1006/8 1002/20 1008/12 1009/10 like [27] 959/10 967/20 968/1 968/13 manhole [6] 968/25 969/3 969/19 978/15 Moreover [1] 1009/9 morning [5] 948/5 950/12 967/1 981/21 971/7 972/8 974/24 975/22 976/14 977/22 1001/13 1001/21 978/21 979/7 988/1 991/13 994/20 994/20 manner [2] 953/22 1008/18 993/10 many [3] 972/19 974/3 985/11 997/22 1000/10 1000/12 1000/13 1001/25 most [5] 968/10 970/7 971/2 984/8 986/7 mark [1] 984/17 1003/5 1003/23 1004/10 1005/6 1005/18 mother [1] 993/11 1007/20 marked [1] 951/5 motion [1] 948/13 likely [4] 959/25 960/17 960/24 970/7 market [5] 961/13 961/14 961/16 961/25 mound [3] 978/4 993/21 993/23 likes [1] 999/8 line [20] 957/15 958/1 958/25 964/20 988/19 move [2] 992/18 993/4 Marve [2] 994/18 1007/17 moving [3] 981/10 991/1 992/23 Marvin [2] 947/14 967/4 965/20 966/1 966/2 966/2 966/4 973/4 Mr. [50] 969/11 969/25 970/10 971/17 matter [3] 948/14 1011/13 1013/4 974/12 978/17 985/2 987/23 989/12 991/8 971/21 971/22 973/2 973/15 973/19 975/25 994/22 995/1 995/18 995/25 matters [2] 957/9 981/25 977/4 977/10 977/13 977/14 977/22 977/24 lines [8] 964/11 965/1 965/8 966/1 966/3 978/10 979/2 979/20 981/4 981/16 981/25 Maumee [1] 947/15 966/9 966/10 985/21 may [46] 947/6 949/3 949/8 951/2 951/17 986/14 988/8 989/16 989/23 989/25 990/10 list [1] 974/7 951/17 952/6 952/10 952/13 952/21 952/23 996/23 996/24 998/5 998/10 1000/10 1000/18 1000/21 1001/11 1002/11 1002/19 literal [2] 1009/7 1009/8 952/24 954/7 954/14 954/23 954/25 956/8 little [2] 990/1 990/20 957/4 958/22 959/12 961/23 962/2 962/5 1002/21 1002/23 1003/8 1004/5 1004/6 lived [1] 991/23 963/11 965/19 965/19 965/25 965/25 966/4 1004/19 1004/25 1005/1 1005/9 1005/9 lives [4] 953/20 968/6 983/18 1001/11 967/16 973/24 978/11 978/12 984/24 985/6 1007/24 1007/24 local [1] 967/19 Mr. Bahret [3] 981/16 1002/19 1004/25 995/10 995/12 1001/9 1007/6 1009/3 Mr. Dominee [1] 973/19 Mr. Domini [3] 977/4 979/20 1005/9 1009/16 1010/12 1011/2 1011/16 1011/16 located [1] 958/8 LOF [1] 996/22 1012/9 logic [2] 994/4 1007/10 maybe [6] 985/7 986/16 990/11 994/3 Mr. Fayette [1] 1005/1 Mr. Herrett [3] 977/13 977/14 1002/11 logically [2] 972/13 972/14 1002/2 1003/4 long [6] 978/1 990/8 991/24 991/24 992/1 mayor [5] 1000/5 1000/9 1000/12 1006/5 Mr. Huber [6] 969/11 978/10 989/16 989/23 989/25 1002/21 1006/6 1000/22 Mr. Huber's [1] 1002/23 Mr. Jenkins [4] 971/17 977/24 998/10 longer [1] 972/23 McCarthy [12] 973/2 975/17 975/17 977/7 look [20] 950/16 963/3 970/24 971/23 986/21 992/7 992/15 996/23 997/15 998/5 972/12 976/1 976/16 976/24 977/2 977/22 1003/8 1004/19 1005/9 979/19 988/11 989/13 992/9 992/11 me [20] 949/1 950/16 950/17 963/3 968/23 Mr. Keesey [1] 977/10 1001/10 1002/6 1002/13 1002/13 1007/9 969/8 972/7 972/8 975/13 975/25 984/22 Mr. Laskey [7] 971/21 971/22 979/2 looked [5] 969/19 970/19 970/21 978/17 990/1 991/15 992/22 995/16 1002/9 1003/6 986/14 988/8 1004/5 1007/24 992/10 1008/5 1008/7 1010/6 Mr. McCarthy [5] 973/2 996/23 998/5 looking [1] 976/2 Meadows [1] 947/18 1003/8 1004/19 mean [6] 955/24 971/1 974/1 975/2 991/20 Mr. Nye [1] 981/4 looks [1] 977/23 Mr. Robon [6] 981/25 990/10 996/24 lose [3] 954/17 994/15 1003/15 994/3 loss [1] 962/12 meaning [2] 959/7 986/3 1000/10 1000/18 1000/21 means [3] 951/14 958/23 1001/2 Mr. Stawinski [2] 973/15 977/22 lost [1] 977/6 lot [21] 968/13 970/13 973/12 976/3 measure [4] 958/16 961/12 999/1 999/23 Mr. Stawinski's [1] 975/25 976/19 976/23 977/3 977/20 979/5 980/23 measurement [2] 984/15 984/16 Mr. Sumner [1] 1001/11 985/6 985/7 985/9 985/10 985/20 987/12 Measurements [1] 996/25 Mr. Taylor [4] 969/25 970/10 1004/6 measures [1] 962/21 993/1 993/17 997/25 1005/16 1007/19 1007/24 mechanical [1] 947/23 Mrs. [5] 968/15 976/8 993/9 1006/6 1007/3 lot's [1] 973/25 lots [31] 957/19 957/24 958/5 958/10 meet [1] 949/3 Mrs. Labinski [1] 968/15

| 6966 [1] 3480 Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ D\$\phi\_6\frac{4}{12} 2665/17\frac{1}{19}\frac{1}{87}22 11/24/08 76 0 nonapplicable [1] 956/24 open [2] 961/16 1010/5 Mrs. Soncrant [4] 976/8 993/9 1006/6 none [3] 987/6 987/11 998/1 opening [1] 953/5 nor [2] 954/6 1009/8 1007/3 operable [1] 991/4 Ms [1] 1001/9 normal [1] 1011/5 opinion [10] 955/15 955/25 956/13 957/1 much [7] 969/3 975/11 976/22 985/7 994/5 NORTHERN [1] 947/1 990/3 993/10 1003/22 1008/19 1011/23 996/11 999/23 northwest [1] 968/2 opinions [6] 955/19 955/21 956/1 956/9 mud [3] 969/17 969/22 981/6 not [130] mulch [1] 986/24 note [3] 1008/24 1009/10 1010/18 956/12 1012/2 opportunity [9] 948/24 953/23 966/20 mulched [1] 986/24 note-taking [1] 1009/10 multiple [1] 985/23 notereading [1] 947/24 967/2 968/19 981/16 981/23 982/1 1008/18 multiply [1] 978/3 notes [6] 1008/25 1009/4 1009/4 1009/6 opposed [2] 951/14 987/4 municipal [1] 975/7 1009/12 1009/14 or [144] must [26] 948/18 951/7 951/16 953/10 nothing [3] 985/14 988/12 999/13 order [3] 965/13 1008/15 1011/25 notice [2] 988/19 988/23 953/11 953/13 953/18 958/8 958/11 960/6 ordered [1] 953/8 noticed [1] 984/10 orderly [1] 1008/18 960/14 960/16 962/3 963/14 984/1 995/23 999/22 1008/16 1009/6 1009/9 1009/13 now [19] 949/8 949/12 950/19 957/12 organization [2] 955/1 955/5 966/18 970/6 973/14 976/12 982/10 987/3 1009/19 1010/10 1010/21 1010/22 1011/21 original [2] 961/22 1002/8 my [12] 950/19 967/6 975/13 981/23 originally [4] 957/6 968/7 971/7 981/12 other [31] 948/17 952/13 952/21 954/19 988/17 989/9 991/15 992/6 1004/4 1005/15 988/14 988/14 993/3 993/4 993/5 997/7 1006/17 1008/4 1012/9 955/6 956/9 960/7 960/16 966/5 967/5 number [38] 951/18 956/6 957/3 963/3 1001/6 1010/25 myself [1] 1003/6 963/7 963/20 963/21 963/22 963/23 963/24 969/2 969/12 970/23 974/14 979/13 981/3 964/2 964/5 964/6 964/7 964/8 964/14 mystifies [1] 992/22 985/25 989/11 991/2 994/6 995/7 996/5 964/15 964/15 964/16 964/19 964/21 996/21 997/8 1000/17 1005/8 1008/11 964/22 965/2 965/3 965/5 965/19 965/25 1008/12 1011/3 1011/23 1012/2 natural [3] 959/19 960/10 960/12 966/1 966/4 976/22 977/10 977/16 994/5 otherwise [4] 963/21 964/14 965/3 978/16 994/9 994/21 999/3 1001/8 1005/4 ought [1] 959/18 nature [1] 1010/7 numbers [3] 979/16 997/13 997/17 our [14] 949/18 967/7 968/6 968/10 near [1] 988/20 necessarily [1] 1001/1 Nye [3] 981/4 984/21 1003/11 974/19 980/14 980/20 980/22 980/22 necessary [4] 951/10 955/17 961/24 993/13 993/15 1003/17 1009/21 1012/13 O 978/23 ours [1] 987/2 oath [2] 954/6 1011/5 out [31] 967/7 970/7 972/19 973/11 975/1 necessity [2] 961/17 961/19 need [4] 966/16 995/19 997/19 1003/3 object [5] 949/17 980/6 980/13 986/6 976/1 978/3 978/17 979/6 982/3 983/22 needed [3] 995/19 996/4 996/8 985/1 985/2 985/3 985/3 985/4 986/5 995/2 objection [8] 953/9 953/12 972/3 972/7 needs [1] 971/24 986/20 989/2 989/17 991/9 993/1 993/4 negatively [1] 997/25 974/4 980/7 1003/16 1007/16 993/11 996/8 997/9 1002/9 1003/22 negligence [12] 955/10 958/6 958/24 objections [1] 1012/14 1004/15 1005/6 1008/14 959/3 959/8 959/12 960/5 960/15 960/18 objects [1] 957/5 outline [1] 1002/17 960/21 964/1 964/18 oblivious [1] 988/1 outraged [2] 982/18 982/20 negligent [10] 949/23 955/17 957/14 obstructions [1] 989/5 outrageous [1] 990/12 outside [9] 952/15 967/18 980/25 982/20 957/21 958/4 958/25 960/8 963/9 964/10 obviously [3] 966/10 992/1 1001/13 occur [1] 962/13 990/13 992/7 1003/22 1005/10 1005/11 occurred [2] 960/12 1004/2 neighbor [1] 982/19 outweighs [1] 951/13 neighborhood [3] 988/18 988/25 989/4 occurs [1] 960/12 over [21] 950/14 951/4 968/2 969/2 974/17 neighboring [1] 983/14 off [3] 948/6 970/12 1012/16 977/2 981/8 983/13 985/6 985/7 985/23 never [16] 953/11 970/19 970/21 971/19 offensive [1] 984/5 987/21 990/17 992/19 1000/9 1001/11 offered [1] 961/15 973/9 983/2 990/5 990/23 990/25 992/10 1001/20 1003/6 1005/22 1007/15 1008/9 993/23 995/3 1001/6 1001/19 1002/7 office [1] 969/12 overbalances [1] 951/13 1003/21 offset [1] 984/15 overpriced [1] 988/6 new [3] 949/6 962/18 1002/4 owl [1] 974/8 often [1] 999/24 next [15] 951/2 959/7 962/23 963/1 963/18 oh [6] 984/11 988/15 990/16 992/9 997/16 own [10] 950/25 966/12 970/20 978/23 964/13 969/16 969/24 970/6 989/6 989/7 1007/17 985/18 988/8 988/12 1007/11 1009/1 991/12 1006/16 1006/17 1008/4 OHIO [8] 947/1 947/5 947/15 947/18 1009/1 Nick [2] 984/21 1003/11 947/21 967/23 968/2 971/10 owner [3] 961/16 961/23 978/14 nickel [1] 994/2 Okay [1] 950/17 night [2] 974/7 974/8 old [6] 947/3 951/9 977/1 991/7 1001/25 page [12] 951/2 951/5 962/25 963/2 nine [2] 987/11 987/21 1002/1 Nixon [3] 947/20 1013/6 1013/8 on [107] 963/16 963/19 966/6 983/24 985/22 985/25 no [67] 947/3 949/12 950/5 956/14 956/25 once [3] 963/18 971/19 985/4 995/21 1003/2 one [45] 948/7 950/14 952/23 952/24 961/17 961/19 963/11 964/3 964/6 964/11 pages [4] 963/16 965/10 985/25 1008/6 964/20 964/25 965/7 965/13 966/10 966/11 955/8 955/13 958/12 962/4 963/7 963/7 paid [2] 975/9 988/16 968/17 969/13 969/14 970/8 970/12 970/16 963/7 965/11 965/11 966/4 966/16 966/17 papers [2] 950/13 966/8 970/25 971/2 971/3 971/8 971/8 972/1 967/17 968/9 968/15 969/9 969/19 970/17 paragraph [2] 949/21 949/24 973/3 974/10 974/18 974/19 975/12 977/6 970/17 971/13 973/14 974/7 975/12 976/18 part [5] 954/8 974/2 982/13 1005/18 976/20 977/14 979/8 980/21 983/9 984/22 978/13 978/15 978/18 980/3 985/8 986/4 1010/9 987/5 987/11 987/13 992/4 992/6 993/2 992/21 996/22 1000/11 1005/22 1006/12 particular [1] 965/13 994/21 996/1 996/2 996/10 997/16 997/24 1006/15 1007/13 1008/21 1010/9 1011/3 parties [3] 957/6 983/19 994/8 party [10] 951/24 954/17 959/17 959/21 1000/1 1000/1 1001/2 1004/22 1004/22 1011/18 ones [3] 950/1 987/8 1012/19 1004/23 1004/23 1007/17 1007/17 1007/17 960/1 960/6 960/7 960/20 981/3 1011/3 1009/10 1010/5 1012/5 1012/7 only [26] 952/21 955/5 960/7 962/5 966/16 paths [1] 954/2 pattern [1] 1006/20 nobody [5] 974/5 979/7 983/9 991/3 991/8 967/23 973/20 973/21 974/1 974/16 977/18 nobody's [1] 976/2 979/19 979/20 987/8 987/8 989/15 992/3 pay [8] 969/25 970/1 970/4 977/7 980/4 noise [2] 989/5 997/8 998/7 998/25 1004/23 1005/2 1006/9 988/15 1002/25 1003/1 nominal [7] 948/9 958/19 958/22 958/22 1008/6 1009/25 1011/22 1012/19 paying [2] 970/3 979/3

previde 1994 92#: 3481 proximate [6] 955/9 955/23 960/8 960/9 payments [2] 988/13 988/14 positions [1] 966/22 960/22 962/8 peddling [1] 987/16 possession [1] 958/13 proximately [7] 958/15 960/18 961/6 peg [1] 984/12 possible [1] 962/12 964/2 964/19 965/15 965/22 people [14] 968/1 968/5 968/11 968/13 possibly [1] 985/14 prudent [1] 959/24 potential [1] 948/10 power [6] 975/19 996/14 996/14 996/15 pull [1] 976/20 969/18 979/6 984/5 984/8 986/20 994/7 996/3 1001/12 1002/16 1006/15 pumping [1] 978/11 purchased [1] 961/18 996/17 1008/11 per [1] 977/20 perceive [1] 954/14 powerful [1] 982/9 purely [1] 1009/1 perfect [1] 983/6 precautions [2] 960/3 960/5 purpose [3] 996/20 1001/21 1008/17 performance [2] 955/11 960/4 preconstruction [2] 987/17 987/18 pursuant [1] 998/9 permission [6] 958/2 958/14 964/24 973/5 preliminary [1] 957/9 pushed [1] 977/2 prepared [1] 948/5 put [33] 949/8 956/16 968/15 972/25 976/3 978/12 978/14 preponderance [22] 951/7 951/10 951/11 976/15 977/20 979/13 979/14 979/16 permit [1] 1011/6 982/23 986/4 986/5 991/14 993/1 993/14 951/14 951/20 951/23 951/25 952/22 955/7 permitted [3] 950/24 1009/16 1010/6 person [12] 951/6 959/4 959/10 959/13 961/1 961/4 961/8 961/14 962/7 962/15 995/4 996/16 996/24 997/1 998/17 999/5 963/8 963/25 964/9 964/17 964/23 965/6 999/8 999/13 999/14 999/15 1000/22 959/15 959/24 972/25 992/22 1000/7 1000/8 1000/14 1005/8 1010/13 1004/21 1005/20 1005/22 1006/21 1010/15 personal [1] 982/15 present [2] 973/22 1009/17 putting [3] 965/25 978/17 991/17 personally [2] 993/12 1000/6 presented [1] 1010/23 preserve [3] 949/17 950/2 974/25 persons [1] 955/2 Q persuasive [1] 951/15 preside [1] 1008/9 pertinent [1] 961/20 pretend [2] 983/3 987/23 qualifications [1] 956/7 Peterman [3] 971/18 984/21 985/25 pretty [1] 1002/13 qualified [3] 955/15 984/8 984/10 phone [2] 1009/25 1010/18 phones [1] 1009/23 quality [2] 951/16 951/17 quantity [1] 951/17 prevents [1] 998/8 previously [1] 949/15 photo [1] 975/25 price [1] 961/15 quarter [2] 971/1 971/1 pride [1] 1011/16 question [14] 953/12 953/13 953/15 photographs [1] 969/18 phrase [1] 948/8 primary [1] 996/20 955/16 956/17 956/20 957/1 963/18 969/4 prior [3] 954/21 970/3 972/2 978/22 998/13 998/14 1010/15 1010/17 pick [1] 963/1 private [2] 969/14 990/4 picking [1] 981/9 pictures [2] 992/6 992/8 questionable [2] 979/14 979/15 probable [2] 951/15 959/19 probably [6] 975/13 981/2 982/3 982/10 questions [2] 956/16 1011/8 quite [2] 984/4 994/4 piled [1] 987/21 pipe [48] 957/23 958/4 959/2 964/11 988/5 1007/14 quotes [1] 948/21 967/14 968/23 969/1 969/5 971/15 972/2 probative [1] 951/15 R problem [11] 971/20 977/12 978/9 991/17 972/17 972/21 972/23 973/2 973/4 974/14 radio [1] 1002/2 975/11 979/24 989/17 989/19 989/19 991/21 993/5 997/6 997/7 999/21 1004/4 989/21 989/23 991/1 991/3 991/6 991/14 1004/5 railroad [24] 957/15 957/19 958/2 958/9 991/17 992/1 992/25 993/1 996/4 996/23 958/25 969/1 969/14 976/1 976/25 977/1 problems [2] 1004/1 1004/16 proceed [10] 963/20 963/21 964/4 964/13 997/1 997/2 997/6 998/3 998/11 998/18 984/1 987/12 987/14 987/15 987/25 989/2 999/19 999/21 1000/2 1002/4 1004/9 964/15 964/21 965/2 965/3 965/8 995/12 989/16 990/3 991/7 992/23 994/25 998/11 1004/23 1005/20 1005/22 1006/2 1001/17 1001/18 proceedings [2] 947/23 1013/4 pipes [5] 969/2 985/21 989/11 989/13 produced [2] 947/24 951/21 railroads [1] 988/21 992/23 produces [1] 960/11 rain [1] 978/25 professionals [2] 1004/12 1004/13 place [3] 967/18 988/4 988/12 raincoat [1] 952/17 profit [3] 975/5 976/14 996/11 raining [3] 952/15 952/16 952/20 plaintiff [68] plaintiff's [17] 948/14 948/15 949/23 profits [1] 996/9 raise [1] 987/21 955/24 957/17 957/18 958/9 958/14 958/15 prohibition [1] 996/1 raised [3] 1001/18 1001/18 1001/19 959/1 960/14 960/16 960/25 965/7 965/16 project [4] 975/3 975/5 975/6 1000/11 ramped [2] 997/13 997/18 promise [1] 1009/24 proof [10] 951/5 951/8 951/8 951/24 ran [2] 969/12 1005/22 965/23 985/8 Plaintiffs [1] 947/14 rather [1] 966/20 plan [6] 985/19 985/25 989/10 989/13 952/12 957/10 960/14 960/16 966/23 982/7 reach [1] 1012/1 proper [2] 954/4 998/9 reached [3] 1008/23 1010/1 1010/12 989/16 998/9 plans [5] 969/1 969/4 974/22 985/22 properly [1] 957/25 reaching [1] 1011/19 properties [1] 958/13 reaction [1] 1004/9 985/24 plant [5] 962/18 970/16 977/14 994/15 property [41] 957/15 957/17 957/18 reactions [1] 1011/5 957/25 958/2 958/9 958/14 958/15 958/17 read [10] 949/1 950/15 950/18 963/2 965/1 1005/2 planted [1] 1004/3 958/25 959/1 959/5 961/13 961/15 961/20 965/5 968/7 971/22 986/8 989/15 Planting [1] 1004/3 961/22 961/25 963/10 964/24 965/7 965/16 reads [5] 963/25 964/8 964/16 964/22 pleadings [1] 953/2 965/23 969/15 974/12 974/19 974/23 977/1 966/8 please [9] 950/6 980/15 981/21 995/6 978/14 983/15 983/16 984/1 984/1 986/21 ready [1] 966/18 995/15 1007/22 1007/23 1009/21 1012/19 real [2] 1002/12 1006/23 987/1 989/12 990/4 994/22 995/1 995/18 plug [1] 998/19 995/24 995/25 reality [1] 983/4 plugged [3] 1001/24 1001/25 1006/4 prospective [1] 962/11 realize [1] 1006/24 Plus [1] 1004/5 proud [3] 971/24 1006/19 1006/20 really [15] 970/5 977/6 977/19 979/21 point [4] 967/7 987/6 988/8 997/7 prove [20] 948/18 951/7 951/9 952/10 990/14 991/16 992/10 999/9 1000/6 1000/7 958/8 958/11 958/18 958/21 960/7 963/8 1000/15 1000/21 1004/11 1006/24 1007/3 points [1] 1009/8 politicians [1] 967/20 963/25 964/8 964/17 964/22 965/5 967/8 rear [1] 985/20 pond [7] 978/22 992/21 993/3 993/4 967/9 967/10 967/11 967/13 reason [10] 949/17 974/10 974/18 974/19 proved [1] 951/19 980/2 990/7 990/21 994/21 996/2 1003/25 997/12 997/14 997/16 ponding [7] 971/21 979/11 991/22 992/5 proven [5] 952/22 967/3 974/10 983/9 reasonable [13] 952/14 959/3 959/4 959/8 992/19 993/5 998/4 959/9 959/17 960/1 961/23 962/3 962/16 997/7 proves [3] 961/7 962/15 988/2 ponds [1] 997/8 962/20 983/1 991/5

serub 17 1993/43 #: 3482 Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ Dopestit 284-349722959/201959/25960/38 0 sealed [2] 970/25 971/11 960/13 960/23 967/11 967/15 seats [1] 950/13 reasonableness [1] 953/22 resulting [1] 958/17 reasonably [8] 959/10 959/13 959/15 retention [4] 978/22 997/8 997/12 997/14 second [4] 969/21 970/4 970/5 979/9 959/23 960/23 961/5 962/5 962/12 retire [1] 1012/9 Section [2] 948/15 948/18 reasons [2] 967/17 1007/14 see [19] 953/23 954/12 970/22 970/22 retrieve [1] 1010/18 return [3] 966/17 1000/4 1009/24 rebuttal [2] 950/10 1001/4 receive [2] 957/2 957/8 976/1 976/2 977/2 979/7 981/7 983/8 returned [1] 1008/23 reviewed [1] 949/19 985/22 986/1 987/12 987/14 998/16 received [1] 952/1 1002/16 1002/17 1008/14 1008/22 recognize [3] 970/3 1004/20 1004/21 ribbons [1] 1002/8 seeing [3] 987/19 987/20 987/22 recognized [1] 969/5 Ric [6] 957/22 959/2 964/10 969/20 969/2 seek [1] 968/19 990/6 recollection [1] 1009/12 seeks [1] 960/6 recommend [1] 998/19 rich [2] 989/1 989/1 seen [1] 952/8 reconnected [1] 972/24 richest [1] 988/25 select [1] 1008/9 record [13] 948/1 948/6 948/25 949/4 ridiculous [1] 989/12 sell [7] 961/16 988/7 988/9 997/20 999/12 949/8 949/13 950/2 950/7 982/20 1009/7 right [18] 948/19 949/12 957/15 959/1 999/14 999/16 1009/8 1012/17 1013/4 968/25 970/6 972/22 975/10 976/25 983/1 selling [4] 970/8 975/6 975/8 988/5 recorded [1] 947/23 983/20 985/19 989/17 992/18 992/23 sends [1] 1000/9 recover [3] 960/6 961/23 962/2 redress [1] 968/19 sense [2] 993/3 1011/15 995/18 995/18 1004/4 right-of-way [2] 957/15 959/1 sensed [1] 982/10 reduction [3] 958/16 973/16 973/21 sent [1] 976/11 rights [1] 983/1 refer [1] 948/19 risk [1] 962/22 separate [3] 950/21 978/17 1009/18 river [4] 978/18 997/9 999/6 999/7 referred [1] 952/11 separately [1] 984/4 referring [2] 964/1 964/18 rivers [1] 978/25 separates [1] 968/11 Road [4] 978/18 997/9 1001/12 1001/12 reflect [2] 948/1 950/7 septic [1] 1001/13 reflected [1] 948/10 refuse [1] 1004/20 Robert [1] 947/17 Robon [8] 947/14 947/14 981/25 990/10 sequence [1] 960/10 serve [1] 996/22 refused [2] 976/7 1005/23 996/24 1000/10 1000/18 1000/21 serves [1] 1008/16 service [4] 975/7 996/17 1000/20 1000/23 refuses [1] 1001/22 room [10] 951/4 966/13 997/19 1001/7 refuted [1] 991/3 1008/8 1009/19 1009/22 1009/25 1011/18 serving [2] 991/8 991/9 set [7] 963/1 963/1 966/12 966/13 966/15 regard [1] 1008/24 1012/10 roots [3] 981/7 981/9 981/11 regardless [1] 951/21 966/16 1006/20 roughly [2] 978/1 978/10 Route [1] 968/16 related [1] 957/4 sever [7] 957/22 958/3 959/2 964/10 969/7 979/24 1006/2 relationship [1] 954/16 several [4] 959/7 963/5 974/17 1006/17 relative [1] 956/7 row [1] 1002/17 reliable [1] 1009/11 RPR [2] 947/20 1013/8 severed [3] 991/10 1004/23 1006/2 rules [2] 982/22 990/13 severing [6] 967/13 968/23 969/6 971/15 rely [2] 972/6 974/2 remember [6] 954/15 984/16 986/13 998/4 run [2] 973/4 1003/6 972/2 1004/9 sewers [1] 997/9 1001/8 1006/15 rushing [1] 978/25 remind [2] 966/19 995/8 shall [2] 985/20 998/5 S she [11] 952/8 953/23 953/24 954/6 remote [3] 960/21 960/21 960/22 removal [8] 948/10 958/7 962/19 963/9 said [43] 968/16 969/12 969/13 969/19 972/19 972/19 991/16 991/18 1007/5 965/16 994/25 997/22 998/1 969/21 969/22 969/25 971/21 973/1 973/15 1007/5 1012/19 removed [8] 957/18 958/1 985/12 985/15 973/20 973/21 973/23 975/18 976/12 977/4 she'll [2] 1010/3 1010/18 986/23 993/18 995/20 995/23 977/7 977/14 977/18 977/21 977/24 978/10 she's [5] 1000/8 1000/8 1000/9 1000/10 984/19 984/23 988/5 990/11 990/14 990/16 1000/11 removing [2] 967/12 973/3 render [1] 1011/9 990/20 991/25 992/16 993/16 997/14 shield [1] 983/6 renew [1] 1012/13 998/20 1000/3 1000/18 1001/9 1001/12 shock [1] 998/16 repair [2] 961/24 986/5 1002/7 1002/11 1002/20 1005/1 1010/24 shocking [1] 969/7 short [1] 970/11 repairs [1] 961/24 sale [1] 961/15 sales [2] 997/25 999/25 same [9] 952/25 954/22 955/3 957/5 957/6 shortly [1] 1012/10 should [27] 949/10 950/7 950/15 951/1 replace [1] 977/17 replaced [2] 977/19 991/19 replacing [1] 997/6 993/14 994/6 994/8 994/12 951/20 955/19 956/5 956/7 957/7 959/22 report [1] 1006/6 sand [2] 1004/21 1006/22 960/1 960/2 960/3 962/3 962/19 963/2 reporter [3] 947/20 949/3 949/11 Sandy [1] 991/15 966/3 966/15 969/5 987/8 995/6 998/24 saw [4] 952/15 987/1 987/17 1003/5 reputation [1] 979/5 1004/12 1010/15 1010/20 1011/21 1011/24 requested [2] 950/8 1010/16 say [34] 949/2 963/3 969/11 969/16 970/8 shouldn't [2] 990/11 995/24 970/15 973/7 978/13 978/15 978/15 978/20 show [7] 974/21 974/22 984/22 989/19 require [1] 967/22 989/20 997/25 998/3 required [8] 954/5 954/6 955/21 956/18 978/24 981/5 982/4 984/11 986/7 988/11 showed [3] 981/24 989/10 1003/14 956/21 959/4 962/21 985/18 988/11 988/22 990/4 990/10 991/15 991/16 requires [2] 952/4 1011/6 993/6 996/20 998/5 998/16 1001/24 1004/2 showing [4] 968/24 989/12 989/13 990/20 shown [6] 961/13 968/25 971/3 1003/11 research [1] 1004/14 1004/10 1004/22 1005/14 1006/3 1007/5 reserving [1] 950/9 saying [7] 971/8 999/11 1000/24 1001/2 1003/18 1011/17 resolve [1] 982/23 respect [4] 948/9 948/25 949/7 958/7 1002/4 1002/4 1003/5 shows [4] 969/1 969/2 989/11 989/21 side [6] 951/23 981/17 996/5 998/18 says [22] 963/19 973/3 973/25 979/20 respectfully [2] 985/13 989/3 980/3 980/21 980/23 985/8 985/20 988/4 1012/3 1012/16 respective [1] 966/22 988/8 988/9 989/22 992/22 993/14 993/16 side-bar [1] 1012/16 respond [2] 982/2 982/5 996/2 996/24 996/24 997/16 1002/11 sight [1] 994/16 response [1] 949/14 1003/11 sign [3] 963/13 966/6 966/16 responsibilities [1] 968/9 scale [4] 970/25 971/1 971/12 1003/20 signed [6] 966/15 970/25 971/11 1003/13 responsibility [5] 973/10 976/8 1001/23 scales [1] 982/8 1003/19 1010/1 1005/19 1006/9 scheduled [1] 993/8 significant [2] 979/17 1009/5 responsible [3] 960/20 979/25 1008/19 screen [3] 987/25 992/18 1005/6 Silence [2] 1003/23 1003/23 restored [1] 961/22 screwed [1] 971/6 similar [1] 997/17

survey (100) 974) 1# 974/9 981/2 984/6 Case: 3:06-cv-02950-jz Dospot:[2]4992/171992/2411/24/08 79 o Spring [1] 947/18 stack [2] 950/13 966/8 simply [9] 949/13 950/2 954/5 980/3 1003/19 980/10 988/18 991/3 991/6 1005/7 staked [1] 957/25 surveying [1] 957/14 since [4] 955/5 966/22 988/7 988/16 staking [2] 957/14 958/25 stand [7] 953/21 971/6 972/25 975/24 single [2] 956/25 1010/8 1003/22 sit [3] 1000/12 1000/16 1007/8 980/18 990/24 1003/24 surveyors [1] 1005/11 sitting [1] 1007/14 standard [3] 955/18 955/21 955/23 surveys [1] 984/11 situation [4] 982/24 997/10 998/4 1006/21 stapled [1] 963/1 survive [1] 948/16 size [1] 976/24 starkness [2] 975/23 975/24 sustain [2] 980/7 1007/17 sketch [1] 971/4 start [2] 965/13 1008/25 state [5] 965/14 965/21 967/18 967/23 skill [1] 955/14 swing [1] 967/5 skip [4] 951/4 963/21 964/14 965/3 1003/14 sworn [2] 950/23 966/9 skipped [1] 964/7 statement [3] 953/3 953/4 956/18 sympathize [1] 972/1 statements [4] 953/5 953/8 954/21 966/19 small [2] 958/23 976/4 symptoms [1] 1004/11 smaller [1] 988/24 STATES [2] 947/1 947/11 smoke [1] 1009/18 station [3] 949/4 978/11 989/8 synonomous [1] 1001/1 system [2] 968/10 968/18 snack [1] 1009/19 status [1] 1010/7 snob [1] 988/21 Stawinski [3] 973/15 977/22 983/18 so [58] 948/10 949/9 950/2 951/4 956/21 Stawinski's [1] 975/25 957/23 961/17 961/19 963/1 963/18 963/23 stayed [2] 974/12 974/13 table [1] 951/2 965/18 967/19 967/20 969/3 969/13 970/4 stenography [1] 947/23 973/2 973/10 973/25 973/25 974/18 975/1 stepped [1] 1003/7 977/24 978/17 979/3 979/8 981/7 982/23 stick [1] 1002/9 985/1 985/9 986/11 986/25 987/7 988/9 still [5] 987/14 987/14 987/16 988/9 988/13 990/9 991/25 992/19 992/24 993/12 1001/25 994/9 994/14 995/22 996/2 996/6 996/13 stipulated [1] 952/3 1009/18 1009/18 1012/19 999/8 1000/3 1001/19 1002/3 1008/6 stipulation [1] 953/4 1008/14 1009/17 1011/15 1011/20 1011/22 stole [1] 974/6 983/15 999/2 1009/6 1012/19 stopping [1] 992/16 takes [1] 999/14 soil [4] 977/17 977/24 993/22 993/22 storm [1] 1005/21 1009/10 sold [2] 979/19 986/24 street [2] 977/21 983/12 soldiers [1] 968/5 stressed [1] 1009/6 stricken [1] 953/8 solely [2] 1010/22 1012/1 strong [1] 967/25 solid [3] 983/21 983/21 987/13 993/9 995/15 solve [4] 978/8 991/17 1004/4 1004/15 stronger [1] 956/14 some [18] 948/6 949/6 954/11 959/20 1005/1 study [1] 955/13 960/2 971/21 971/23 972/6 981/24 987/24 stuff [3] 993/19 994/18 999/9 998/5 999/3 999/4 999/4 999/5 999/14 stumps [2] 976/24 981/9 subdivision [28] 957/16 957/20 957/24 1009/3 1009/4 tanks [1] 1001/13 somebody [7] 979/21 982/9 986/17 taught [2] 1004/13 1004/14 958/5 961/11 963/10 964/3 964/20 964/24 998/17 1000/12 1002/17 1009/19 970/9 970/12 971/16 971/18 971/19 971/20 tax [2] 970/2 970/6 972/2 973/16 974/22 975/21 977/9 979/5 somebody's [1] 986/25 somehow [1] 981/8 979/18 983/4 983/6 985/17 985/24 986/2 988/15 someone [3] 952/17 959/25 970/11 988/18 submit [8] 985/13 989/3 991/5 993/2 1007/24 someplace [4] 969/6 972/21 973/12 995/17 997/23 998/2 999/25 1007/13 technique [1] 984/25 something [9] 959/13 959/14 968/15 substantial [1] 988/4 substantially [1] 988/23 974/6 979/7 990/10 994/23 1000/6 1007/2 1004/17 1006/17 sometimes [2] 971/25 1001/2 subtracted [1] 973/22 telling [1] 997/21 somewhere [2] 989/2 989/3 Soncrant [7] 972/18 976/8 990/5 993/9 such [20] 951/25 953/4 953/13 954/4 tells [1] 983/25 955/1 955/2 955/21 955/22 956/4 956/12 temporarily [1] 996/6 1001/9 1006/6 1007/3 956/17 956/20 960/4 960/8 961/20 961/21 sorry [5] 960/21 967/4 968/24 981/1 962/5 962/6 1010/21 1011/4 1008/13 suffered [1] 967/14 tends [1] 956/11 sort [1] 982/4 sufficient [1] 999/22 Sounded [1] 972/8 source [2] 991/18 991/21 suggest [1] 1003/7 suggested [1] 948/15 suggestion [2] 953/14 982/18 sources [1] 992/11 space [8] 963/11 963/13 964/3 964/4 suitable [1] 988/19 testifies [1] 952/8 966/5 996/4 996/5 996/8 Suite [1] 947/15 speak [1] 982/2 summarize [1] 967/2 speaking [1] 989/25 speaks [1] 987/18 summertime [1] 1002/15 Sumner [1] 1001/11 support [1] 966/21 spec [3] 977/3 979/10 987/19 specific [2] 957/13 972/7 supported [1] 954/19 specifically [6] 961/10 983/25 984/23 supposed [3] 982/13 982/21 998/14 1007/19 1009/13 990/2 990/5 1010/16 supposedly [2] 983/13 988/10 Supreme [1] 948/21 sure [4] 975/16 987/24 1001/20 1009/21 speculative [1] 962/10 1004/23 spent [3] 975/2 979/18 996/11 Spielbusch [1] 947/20 surprised [1] 981/10 surrender [1] 1011/24 spoke [1] 1007/9 spoken [1] 978/10 surrounded [1] 975/22 993/22 993/24 993/25 994/10 1005/9 spoliation [1] 949/24 surrounding [1] 954/2 1008/11 1008/12 1009/11

984/24 985/8 1003/12 1003/13 1003/13 surveyor [5] 970/19 981/1 981/1 1003/13 sustained [4] 953/9 953/12 955/9 962/8 sympathy [3] 1011/2 1011/3 1011/6

take [29] 949/8 960/4 962/21 967/18 970/24 976/11 977/2 977/19 977/24 980/1 981/13 988/22 992/8 997/16 999/5 1000/9 1001/15 1002/6 1002/13 1003/24 1005/5 1006/8 1006/21 1008/25 1009/12 1009/16 taken [7] 957/6 960/3 982/17 983/14 taking [5] 948/14 948/23 968/12 1008/24 talk [12] 968/22 969/10 969/24 978/8 979/2 979/8 981/24 982/22 984/3 989/9 talked [5] 968/8 983/17 999/6 1004/25 talking [3] 979/17 979/17 995/6 tall [3] 977/18 1005/3 1005/3 taxes [6] 969/25 970/3 970/4 970/6 979/15 Taylor [5] 969/25 970/10 997/15 1004/6 tell [6] 964/12 966/20 981/23 990/15 ten [15] 950/9 963/13 963/14 964/4 964/11 964/25 965/7 966/5 966/9 974/6 977/19 985/11 987/11 987/21 994/18 terms [1] 959/7 test [4] 954/23 959/21 959/23 1004/12 testified [3] 952/15 953/24 1005/8 testify [3] 955/18 993/8 1000/13 testifying [3] 953/22 954/16 972/9 testimony [24] 952/1 952/7 953/23 954/2 954/4 954/5 954/7 954/8 954/9 954/11 954/18 954/19 954/21 954/22 956/9 957/4 972/14 976/25 986/18 987/7 989/14 991/4 testing [4] 1004/13 1004/22 1004/23 tests [3] 953/19 953/20 954/3 than [18] 952/24 955/15 956/14 960/17 974/14 980/22 985/4 986/15 991/20 993/17

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ D@g8#/2@g84/26 B82/16 B86/2 986/49 987/17 trial [11] 3947/1947/10 952/8 952/9 953/3 956/8 956/15 967/1 1007/2 1008/25 991/25 996/6 996/9 999/15 1000/18 1010/24 thank [15] 949/10 963/4 966/25 980/9 1001/10 1003/2 1004/8 1005/14 1005/16 1006/1 1006/23 1007/10 1007/11 980/16 980/17 981/18 981/19 986/10 tried [1] 988/7 995/13 1000/22 1001/3 1001/5 1008/1 thinks [2] 990/14 990/16 trier [1] 956/2 third [4] 966/2 969/22 979/10 981/3 tripling [1] 994/11 1012/8 that [381] thirds [1] 1001/25 trivial [1] 958/23 that's [42] 948/10 964/1 966/15 966/16 this [127] trouble [4] 987/16 987/19 987/20 987/22 those [19] 949/8 950/1 950/3 975/1 981/11 970/1 970/17 974/1 975/6 977/7 979/13 true [7] 952/5 956/18 970/2 970/9 970/9 982/8 983/12 983/23 984/7 984/19 984/19 983/9 983/15 987/16 988/23 989/5 995/20 997/4 1000/25 985/6 987/2 989/11 989/22 989/23 990/10 998/1 999/2 1000/1 1002/13 1002/14 truthfulness [1] 953/20 1003/8 1003/10 1007/11 try [5] 968/13 980/11 982/19 987/20 991/18 991/19 991/20 993/3 993/7 994/6 996/10 996/16 996/17 997/4 997/17 998/18 though [4] 953/11 994/4 994/6 995/25 988/10 999/14 1000/8 1001/14 1003/5 1003/19 thought [3] 974/11 986/21 994/22 trying [10] 982/25 983/1 985/1 985/3 1004/13 1004/14 1006/24 thousand [2] 975/13 1005/23 992/8 992/12 994/22 996/16 996/17 thousands [1] 1003/11 their [23] 955/19 962/19 966/22 968/6 1008/13 969/25 970/4 973/1 980/21 984/1 984/14 three [8] 958/15 967/23 969/18 969/23 tumble [1] 990/8 985/18 986/5 986/12 988/8 988/12 993/6 975/12 978/9 994/10 994/17 turn [2] 949/1 950/14 two [17] 958/6 958/13 965/10 966/3 969/2 994/3 996/7 997/13 1001/12 1001/14 three-fourths [1] 967/23 through [7] 955/5 955/13 962/23 963/7 976/16 983/13 996/18 973/6 973/8 977/16 984/9 987/5 994/17 1002/8 1004/21 them [34] 950/8 950/14 950/16 950/18 997/20 999/13 1001/25 1002/12 1004/24 951/7 953/11 954/23 957/4 963/7 970/1 tile [1] 1001/24 1005/20 970/24 970/24 971/2 971/25 975/18 976/15 time [9] 949/8 967/1 967/6 970/11 985/10 two-and-a-half [1] 997/20 977/19 981/10 984/9 984/9 985/4 986/5 991/10 1005/5 1006/9 1007/21 two-thirds [1] 1001/25 986/17 986/25 986/25 987/2 987/5 988/9 times [2] 972/19 994/17 type [1] 976/18 today [2] 970/12 977/4 988/16 994/7 999/15 1002/9 1003/1 1009/24 Todd [1] 978/23 theme [1] 994/19 together [3] 954/1 967/8 978/6 U.S [1] 948/21 then [40] 960/4 961/22 962/1 962/19 told [17] 982/6 990/2 990/2 990/5 990/5 umbrella [1] 952/18 963/18 963/24 964/4 964/20 966/2 966/10 990/16 990/20 990/23 990/24 990/25 unable [1] 951/22 969/24 970/11 970/15 970/19 971/15 993/10 995/21 995/22 998/21 1000/16 unanimously [1] 967/22 975/23 976/5 976/8 976/12 977/9 977/12 1002/19 1004/19 uncontradicted [1] 954/7 977/24 978/8 978/22 979/10 979/11 981/5 Toledo [23] 947/5 947/7 947/21 949/20 uncovered [1] 970/18 under [13] 954/6 959/10 959/19 959/23 981/6 981/7 985/1 995/4 996/7 997/6 949/24 955/11 955/12 968/3 969/5 974/9 998/24 999/15 999/21 1002/6 1003/4 975/8 978/19 978/19 980/2 982/12 988/17 961/7 961/17 961/18 962/17 984/9 989/17 1006/1 1006/3 994/1 994/20 994/20 996/18 996/19 990/19 991/7 1001/19 underlying [2] 948/19 959/15 1004/17 1007/25 there [75] there'll [1] 976/13 Toledo's [1] 996/22 understand [5] 949/6 982/1 982/12 994/4 there's [26] 963/11 966/11 969/3 970/25 tomorrow [1] 1006/11 1005/14 971/2 971/3 971/15 972/6 973/3 974/19 too [1] 976/22 understood [1] 959/14 977/15 978/2 978/5 978/18 986/1 987/5 took [6] 975/1 986/23 986/25 1003/13 undisputed [1] 976/18 992/2 992/19 992/22 994/9 995/25 996/2 1009/3 1009/4 undue [1] 962/22 996/10 998/2 1000/1 1006/6 unfeeling [1] 991/13 tool [1] 982/9 top [8] 950/14 951/5 963/2 974/6 977/16 UNITED [2] 947/1 947/11 thereby [1] 957/18 therefore [2] 948/22 997/8 977/24 993/21 994/18 unless [6] 953/3 972/6 976/3 995/20 these [34] 950/23 951/3 953/20 954/3 total [3] 965/14 965/21 966/2 998/15 1009/17 958/8 961/23 961/24 966/1 966/16 966/19 totaled [1] 976/17 unreasonable [1] 982/17 969/4 976/17 976/17 976/17 979/16 981/13 tough [1] 1000/21 unrefuted [1] 971/12 983/13 985/24 987/8 989/15 992/5 994/6 towards [2] 985/6 985/7 until [2] 990/8 1010/5 up [57] 949/3 950/8 950/9 963/1 967/4 town [1] 993/11 994/15 994/19 997/21 1001/12 1002/6 1004/1 1004/11 1005/2 1005/3 1005/5 track [1] 957/19 968/4 968/24 969/7 970/18 971/7 972/22 1005/15 1010/14 tracks [1] 976/1 974/11 974/17 974/23 974/24 976/25 977/4 they [153] train [5] 976/2 983/7 983/8 988/1 989/7 979/16 981/5 981/6 981/9 982/1 982/8 they'd [1] 984/14 984/11 984/14 984/19 985/24 986/4 986/5 transcribed [2] 1002/23 1003/2 they're [30] 969/10 969/11 969/16 970/8 transcript [3] 947/10 947/23 1013/3 987/21 987/21 988/20 989/1 990/19 991/21 970/15 970/24 970/25 973/8 973/9 973/10 993/15 994/4 994/9 994/18 994/21 995/18 transporting [1] 991/11 975/6 975/8 976/10 983/1 983/19 983/22 treated [1] 953/11 997/13 997/18 998/6 998/7 998/10 998/19 tree [9] 948/9 976/24 981/9 983/22 986/23 984/7 984/8 984/9 985/1 986/21 988/9 1000/17 1002/9 1002/19 1002/21 1003/4 1003/12 1005/4 1005/5 1007/22 1011/16 991/20 995/24 997/18 997/21 1003/9 987/1 999/5 999/7 1005/1 1003/25 1006/16 1006/25 trees [49] 957/17 958/1 958/7 962/18 upon [12] 953/21 958/13 964/23 965/18 they've [2] 997/13 997/17 963/10 965/16 967/12 970/16 971/3 974/11 965/24 974/19 982/15 1009/1 1010/12 thick [4] 974/16 974/17 1002/12 1002/13 975/2 975/23 977/10 977/14 977/17 979/24 1010/22 1011/12 1011/14 thing [15] 968/22 969/16 970/23 977/14 980/23 981/11 983/14 983/15 983/24 984/1 us [6] 967/9 973/5 978/16 983/11 987/2 979/18 979/20 980/21 985/7 987/17 989/15 985/11 986/14 986/22 986/24 987/3 987/11 1006/17 987/23 987/24 988/7 993/14 993/17 993/24 use [12] 953/19 953/20 954/3 959/3 959/4 990/19 993/7 993/15 1002/20 1006/15 things [27] 953/24 967/9 967/20 968/10 994/15 994/21 994/25 995/20 997/22 998/1 959/8 959/10 960/1 963/6 1001/6 1002/3 969/9 973/14 976/17 976/18 978/9 979/13 998/8 999/1 999/2 999/14 1003/5 1003/8 1009/24 979/24 981/13 982/4 983/1 984/24 985/17 1004/3 1004/3 1005/5 used [3] 952/10 959/17 973/22 986/12 989/15 993/2 993/20 994/20 998/12 trespass [18] 955/10 958/7 958/9 958/17 uses [1] 974/6 998/18 1000/1 1000/17 1007/9 1007/20 958/19 958/20 960/15 960/19 961/3 964/25 Usually [1] 998/14 utilities [3] 985/21 986/3 986/5 think [42] 950/1 950/25 954/4 967/2 965/6 967/10 967/11 976/6 984/4 998/21 967/25 968/1 968/21 969/3 970/1 970/2 998/23 998/25 trespassed [4] 957/17 958/10 960/7 971/5 971/24 972/13 972/13 973/1 973/6

974/19

value [23] 951/15 956/13 956/23 957/20

974/10 975/16 975/21 975/24 977/5 980/5

Case: 3:06-cv-02950-jz Dowette 24/367/8/974/10/97/6/12/0005/46. 0 953/19 937/7 957/72 959/7 959/18 961/4 wealthy [1] 988/25 961/5 961/9 961/12 962/5 962/23 963/17 value... [19] 958/17 961/11 961/13 961/14 wear [1] 971/25 966/12 966/13 966/14 966/14 978/22 981/15 993/16 1001/25 1006/10 1008/9 961/25 962/2 973/16 973/17 973/20 973/22 wearing [1] 952/17 977/3 977/7 977/20 988/3 988/4 988/9 week [2] 967/3 1000/22 1009/23 1010/2 1012/10 988/10 994/12 999/1 weekend [2] 968/5 1006/16 Williams [1] 948/22 weigh [2] 953/17 1011/7 wisdom [2] 982/3 992/15 variety [1] 968/1 vegetation [5] 962/18 995/20 995/23 weighed [1] 951/16 wise [1] 1011/13 weight [11] 951/12 951/21 953/2 953/17 wishes [1] 986/9 999/12 999/13 verdict [27] 948/8 948/10 949/15 962/4 954/4 956/5 957/2 957/7 958/12 958/21 within [3] 968/16 972/15 982/21 962/23 965/4 965/8 965/10 965/11 965/12 1011/25 without [7] 958/14 960/11 964/24 970/13 well [19] 948/17 966/6 968/17 969/16 965/14 966/7 967/23 982/14 1000/4 973/3 982/14 1011/20 1007/24 1008/22 1008/23 1010/1 1010/4 970/1 970/15 970/18 971/16 972/16 973/7 witness [17] 952/7 952/14 953/21 954/5 1010/5 1010/7 1011/7 1011/10 1011/12 978/24 981/6 981/11 986/8 990/11 992/18 954/9 954/12 954/15 954/16 954/23 954/24 1011/15 1012/1 1004/2 1005/14 1006/4 955/13 971/6 972/25 980/18 986/19 went [7] 969/19 970/16 970/19 970/21 Vermillion [1] 987/4 1001/11 1003/24 versus [4] 948/16 948/20 948/21 1005/7 981/6 985/4 989/20 witness' [5] 954/3 954/13 954/14 954/18 very [7] 967/25 976/4 978/24 979/1 were [44] 949/22 950/1 953/9 957/18 954/19 1001/10 1007/4 1009/4 958/1 958/8 961/11 970/8 970/22 974/16 witnesses [10] 951/18 952/2 953/17 975/1 976/16 976/24 977/18 977/21 977/21 953/19 956/6 956/8 956/10 956/16 957/11 viable [1] 983/3 video [5] 987/17 987/18 988/2 992/7 978/9 980/24 981/9 981/9 981/12 983/15 1002/12 983/21 985/2 985/18 986/14 986/16 987/3 992/11 won't [1] 1007/7 videos [1] 957/3 987/4 987/13 987/13 988/5 988/7 988/23 wonder [1] 1003/24 view [3] 999/20 1010/25 1011/19 991/11 993/17 995/20 996/25 1005/9 Wood [4] 969/12 975/8 975/15 996/18 1005/21 1005/24 1006/7 1006/7 1006/18 violation [1] 948/19 Woodlands [1] 947/15 weren't [1] 988/5 woods [4] 975/1 983/21 983/21 987/13 voice [1] 967/4 WESTEŘŇ [1] 947/2 word [3] 966/23 982/6 982/9 voir [1] 983/17 VOLUME [1] 947/10 wet [1] 952/18 words [1] 960/16 work [6] 958/2 970/10 986/20 1001/25 volumes [1] 987/18 what [77] what's [2] 988/8 1000/15 vote [1] 1008/11 1002/2 1002/3 whatever [4] 982/15 982/25 984/14 1003/2 votes [1] 1008/12 worked [1] 948/4 working [4] 986/21 991/1 991/1 1006/4 when [44] 960/12 960/22 961/17 967/17 W 968/5 968/6 968/7 970/2 970/4 970/12 worse [5] 976/2 998/18 999/24 1004/7 W.W [1] 974/25 972/12 973/22 975/23 977/2 979/5 979/8 1005/18 walk [2] 962/23 1009/18 979/16 979/25 981/14 983/4 986/20 988/20 worth [7] 973/23 974/1 977/4 979/20 walked [1] 952/17 988/21 992/7 992/12 997/19 998/20 1000/3 980/22 980/23 988/12 wall [2] 978/1 978/4 1000/16 1001/7 1001/17 1001/19 1002/8 worthy [2] 954/10 956/3 1004/1 1004/23 1004/25 1005/13 1006/14 would [51] 949/17 949/20 952/16 952/19 want [28] 948/12 949/7 968/22 972/7 976/10 978/24 981/3 983/4 988/11 988/21 1006/23 1007/8 1007/10 1007/24 1008/8 959/10 959/15 959/20 959/24 960/11 991/12 992/2 992/2 993/21 993/23 993/23 1010/1 961/15 962/22 963/24 964/7 971/7 974/13 993/24 993/25 994/1 1000/24 1000/25 where [27] 956/1 958/2 967/2 967/6 975/11 975/12 976/20 977/19 978/10 1001/8 1004/20 1004/21 1006/8 1006/14 968/14 968/25 969/17 970/22 971/12 978/12 978/13 979/5 984/5 984/14 984/25 971/24 976/9 976/23 977/15 978/14 981/12 987/12 990/19 991/1 991/17 993/18 993/19 1006/19 1006/19 wanted [4] 949/13 983/9 995/18 999/9 982/24 985/2 987/9 989/10 989/20 989/20 993/20 994/6 997/6 997/21 998/17 999/2 wants [8] 982/11 983/3 983/5 988/11 991/18 992/8 992/9 1003/10 1004/18 999/5 1000/12 1000/14 1000/19 1002/18 994/8 994/12 994/24 1000/10 1002/21 1003/2 1004/10 1005/2 1005/5 1004/19 warnings [1] 975/15 1005/19 1009/2 1012/18 whereabouts [1] 1009/22 was [132] whether [13] 951/19 955/17 955/20 wouldn't [7] 968/2 973/4 974/14 990/17 wasn't [5] 986/22 988/1 1000/6 1003/19 955/22 956/12 956/22 957/5 959/17 959/18 992/10 1002/16 1005/24 959/21 959/23 1009/2 1010/11 1006/4 wrap [1] 1007/22 writing [1] 1010/16 written [1] 1010/17 watch [1] 974/3 which [26] 948/20 951/23 952/8 952/13 water [47] 952/18 957/23 958/5 965/22 952/19 953/9 953/24 954/7 956/2 956/14 969/12 969/22 972/14 972/16 972/16 975/6 956/25 959/9 960/11 961/12 962/12 963/2 wrong [3] 1003/14 1004/22 1011/24 975/8 977/15 978/8 978/24 979/11 989/9 963/12 964/8 967/12 972/18 974/25 976/23 wrongful [1] 962/8 990/3 991/2 991/11 991/18 991/21 992/11 986/19 990/15 998/6 1004/6 wrongs [1] 1005/16 while [3] 954/15 1005/20 1009/4 992/16 992/23 993/1 993/4 993/5 996/13 996/16 996/18 996/21 998/4 998/9 999/8 who [32] 951/6 951/21 951/24 952/7 yard [2] 977/25 978/3 999/17 999/20 999/21 999/24 999/24 955/13 960/6 961/16 961/18 968/5 969/19 1001/15 1001/19 1001/20 1004/4 1004/18 971/10 971/11 971/17 971/17 971/18 yards [2] 977/25 978/2 975/17 976/9 979/4 983/18 984/21 986/14 yeah [1] 990/16 1005/21 1007/12 1007/12 water's [2] 992/17 992/24 990/14 990/15 992/10 992/22 993/13 994/7 year [8] 968/15 970/3 973/25 987/4 987/5 way [29] 957/15 959/1 969/13 970/12 996/7 1000/12 1005/8 1007/11 1009/11 1002/1 1006/11 1006/17 972/15 973/3 973/5 973/7 973/12 974/11 who's [1] 992/20 years [11] 970/1 971/18 973/6 973/8 975/10 975/17 976/22 982/8 984/24 984/25 whoever's [1] 983/18 977/19 979/15 997/21 999/13 1004/24 whole [1] 979/20 985/22 988/15 988/22 989/18 989/25 991/2 1005/20 1006/17 992/23 994/21 997/11 997/12 999/8 why [29] 953/12 967/16 973/8 974/22 ves [11] 963/11 963/20 963/24 964/3 1008/14 1009/10 974/22 975/6 980/1 981/2 984/5 988/6 964/11 964/13 964/20 964/25 965/2 965/7 989/23 990/9 991/14 991/19 994/14 995/4 971/20 we [106] yesterday [2] 948/13 973/1 we'd [3] 987/14 987/14 987/16 997/20 998/17 1000/8 1003/19 1003/21 we'll [7] 951/4 963/6 965/13 984/17 984/18 1003/24 1004/18 1004/22 1004/23 1005/12 yet [9] 948/2 976/2 983/7 991/12 993/21 1005/12 1005/14 1005/14 995/24 996/3 997/15 997/21 984/19 1009/24 we're [13] 948/1 968/4 973/10 973/11 wide [1] 978/1 you [380] 978/16 979/17 982/21 982/22 984/12 you'd [1] 993/10

widen [1] 968/14

will [30] 948/24 949/1 949/3 950/13 951/3

you'll [11] 950/16 966/17 970/24 971/23

1002/4 1002/4 1004/14 1005/14

1028		
Y Case: 3:06-cv-02950-JZ Do	oc #: 242 Filed: 11/24/08 82 of	82. PageID #: 3486
you'll [7] 980/1 981/13 985/21 986/1 1005/15 1006/24 1007/9 you're [21] 962/25 963/4 970/3 976/3 977/16 979/17 980/2 982/13 987/7 988/1 989/3 989/4 989/5 989/6 989/8 992/12 994/24 1001/7 1005/13 1005/15 1007/21 you've [12] 950/16 963/24 978/4 982/10 992/11 992/13 997/6 999/4 999/11 999/18 1000/22 1010/1 your [70] yours [1] 966/24 yourself [7] 967/16 976/8 1004/18 1005/12 1005/15 1011/15 1011/21 yourselves [4] 955/25 956/6 968/1 980/1		
Z		
zero [2] 966/4 985/10 zoom [1] 976/23 ZOUHARY [1] 947/10		